arXiv:math/9805036v1 [math.DG] 7 May 1998

Singular Connections on Three-Manifolds and Manifolds with Cylindrical Ends.

Benoit Gérard[1]

[1]Email address: Benoit_Gerard@MCKINSEY.COM

Contents

Introduction. 1

Chapter 1. Four-dimensional Theory. 4
1. Set-up. 4
2. A slice theorem for singular connections. 9
3. Laplacians on forms. 17

Chapter 2. Three-dimensional Theory. 22
1. Set-up. 22
2. The Chern-Simons functional. 24
3. The deformation complex. 27

Chapter 3. Singular connections on manifolds with cylindrical ends. 33
1. Set-up. 33
2. The translation-invariant case. 35
3. Global theory. 40
4. Some index formulae. 42

Loose ends and speculations. 47

Appendix A. Elliptic edge operators in a nutshell. 49

Bibliography 56

Introduction.

This thesis can be seen as a first step towards defining a Floer homology for the singular connections studied by Kronheimer and Mrowka in their work on the structure of Donaldson's polynomial invariants [**KM**]. By investigating certain spaces of connections on a homology three-sphere which are singular along a knot, such a Floer homology would provide possibly a new invariant for the knot. We will first briefly recall the key ideas of the classical instanton homology defined by Floer [**F1**] for a homology three–sphere and describe the singular connections considered by Kronheimer and Mrowka. We will then present the content of the thesis.

Floer homology is obtained by applying an infinite–dimensional version of Morse theory to the Chern-Simons functional defined over the space of connections in a principal bundle (with e.g. structure group $SU(2)$) over a closed, oriented, three–manifold M. The set $\mathcal{R}$ of critical points of this functional are the flat connections and the gradient-flow lines correspond to anti–self–dual connections over the cylinder $M\times\mathbb{R}$. After generic perturbation, the critical points form a finite set of isolated connections. The index of the critical points is always infinite, but it is nevertheless possible to define a relative index between two critical points by the spectral flow [**APS**] of the Hessian of the Chern-Simons functional. After dividing by the action of the gauge group, this spectral flow is well-defined modulo 8. It follows that the free abelian group R generated by the set of critical points is $\mathbb{Z}/8$–graded. If we consider two critical points α and β with consecutive gradings, the space of flow lines starting from α and arriving at β is generically a zero–dimensional, oriented, compact manifold, i.e. a finite set of signed points. Counting those flow lines as in classical finite–dimensional Morse theory yields a boundary map $\delta : R \to R$, and Floer proved that $\delta^2 = 0$ by analyzing the ends of the spaces of flow lines. Thus, one gets an invariant for the manifold M in the form of 8 homology groups $HF_*(M) = \ker\delta/\mathrm{im}\,\delta$. Floer homology is a basic tool in computations of Donaldson's polynomial invariants [**DK**] for four–manifolds , see e.g. [**MMR**], [**T2**].

On the other hand, Kronheimer and Mrowka [**KM**] developed a gauge theory for a surface Σ embedded in a four–manifold X. They study spaces of ASD connections on X singular along Σ, but whose holonomy around small

loops linking Σ is asymptotically fixed by a parameter $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. They then define a numerical invariant similar to Donaldson's polynomials. They use that invariant to establish certain constraints on the genus of Σ, which are reminiscent of the adjunction formula for curves in complex surfaces.

The first chaper of this thesis starts by recalling the set-up introduced in [**KM**] and some results obtained there regarding the moduli spaces of singular ASD connections. The analysis in [**KM**] is based on orbifold techniques. They put an orbifold metric with a sharp cone–angle $\frac{2\pi}{\nu}, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, around Σ, and start from a rational holonomy parameter $\alpha = \frac{p}{\nu}, p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. They then use a trick from [**DS**] to change the metric back to the round metric. An estimate based on a Weitzenbock formula is used to change the holonomy parameter to any real value of α within a certain compact interval of $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ determined by ν. Instead of orbifold techniques, we use the theory of elliptic edge operators developed in [**Ma**] and summarized in the appendix to this thesis. Edge theory has the advantage that one can work directly with any sharp enough cone-angle and any real value of the holonomy parameter α. This in turn allows one to write down an explicit global Coulomb gauge–fixing condition, something which doesn't appear in [**KM**]. Another problem with the orbifold techniques is that the estimate which allows one to go from a rational value to any real value of α is based on the fact that the four–sphere, used as a local model, has positive Ricci curvature. That positive Ricci curvature assumption cannot be made when one works with manifolds with cylindrical ends. Hence, the perturbation argument for α does not carry over and this is why one really needs another analytical theory. The last section of chapter 1 carries out some more edge analysis which will be used in the subsequent chapters.

In the second chapter, we first show how to adapt the setting of [**KM**] to study singular three–dimensional connections. We then show how to define a Chern–Simons functional CS^α for singular connections. As usual, the critical points of the Chern–Simons functional are flat connections and the gradient–flow lines are singular ASD connections. The last section of chapter 2 computes the kernel of the Hessian of CS^α at a critical point, which yields a topological condition for determining when a singular flat connection is isolated. This computation is in fact the Hodge–de Rham theory of singular flat connections. The chapter ends with a word about perturbations of the Chern–Simons functional.

Chapter 3 treats the case of singular connections on manifolds with cylindrical ends. After establishing the set–up, we study the local models on the ends. The analysis is reminiscent of [**LM**] and relies on the results obtained at the end of chapter 1. It is also here that the trick of changing the conformal strucure from [**DS**] is used. The global theory of moduli spaces of ASD singular connections is then presented. The chapter ends with a few index formulae

for gluing and changing gauge, which show that an analogue of Floer's grading function can be defined for singular flat connections. However, in this case, the grading function is 4–periodic, not 8–periodic.

The thesis ends with a few comments regarding the work that remains to be done to define a Floer homology and a couple of conjectures regarding possible relations between that putative Floer homology and other gauge theories for knots.

There is an appendix which contains a summary of the theory of elliptic edge operators. Moreover, the theory is there extended to L^p–spaces and a number of results regarding elliptic estimates for the operators arising in the thesis are presented.

CHAPTER 1

Four-dimensional Theory.

This chapter deals with the theory of anti-self-dual connections on a 4–manifold which are singular along an embedded surface. The first section recalls the set-up developed by Kronheimer and Mrowka [**KM**] to study the moduli spaces of such connections and states some of the results they obtained (dimension of the moduli space, Chern–Weil formula, etc.). The second section develops a slice theorem for the action of the gauge group on the space of singular connections. The analytical techniques used in that section involve the theory of elliptic edge operators developed in [**Ma**]. The reader who is not knowledgeable about edge theory will find a summary in the appendix to this thesis. The last section is an analysis of certain twisted Laplacians corresponding to singular connections on differential forms. The results obtained there will be useful in chapter 2 to study the Hodge–de Rham theory of flat singular connections on 3–manifolds and in chapter 3 to develop the moduli theory of singular connections on manifolds with cylindrical ends.

1. Set-up.

This section recalls a number of facts from Kronheimer and Mrowka's article [**KM**] for $SU(2)$ connections on a 4-manifold X, singular along a surface Σ. It recalls the set-up they developed and some of the results they obtained regarding the moduli spaces of singular anti-self-dual connections.

1.1. Basic definitions. Let X be a smooth, closed, oriented 4-manifold, endowed with a Riemannian metric. Let Σ be a closed, oriented embedded surface of genus g and ν the normal bundle of Σ in X. Using the exponential map given by the metric on X, we identify a small disk bundle of ν with a closed tubular neighborhood N of Σ. Let r be the variable defined by the distance from the zero section in ν.

Let E be a $SU(2)$-bundle over X; we take an abelian reduction of E over N

$$E|_N = L \oplus L^{-1}, \tag{1}$$

where L is a $U(1)$-bundle on N.

Define the two topological numbers

$$k \;=\; c_2(E)[X],$$

$$l \;=\; -c_1(L)[\Sigma].$$

Let A^0 be a connection on E, which respects the splitting (1), i.e. which is abelian on N. Now, ∂N is a circle bundle over Σ, for which we choose a connection 1-form $i\eta$. Let $\pi : N \setminus \Sigma \to \partial N$ be the radial projection. Then $\pi^*\eta$, which, by abuse of notation, we will also denote η, is an angular 1-form on N. As in [**KM**], define a "background" connection on the restriction of E to $X\setminus\Sigma$ by

$$A^\alpha \;=\; A^0 + i\beta(r)\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & 0\\ 0 & -\alpha\end{pmatrix}\eta, \tag{2}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and β is a cut-off function equal to 1 near 0. By construction, A^α also respects the splitting (1) over N. The holonomy of A^α around a small loop linking Σ tends to

$$\begin{pmatrix}e^{-2i\pi\alpha} & 0\\ 0 & e^{2i\pi\alpha}\end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

as the loop shrinks towards Σ.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_E$ denote the adjoint bundle of E. We denote by L^p_{k,A^α} the Sobolev spaces defined with the adjoint connection of A^α on $X\setminus\Sigma$ on sections or forms with values in $\operatorname{End} E$ or $\mathfrak{g}_E$.

For $p > 2$, define the space of singular connections

$$\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p}(X,\Sigma) \;=\; A^\alpha + L^p_{1,A^\alpha}(\Omega^1(X\setminus\Sigma\,;\mathfrak{g}_E)) \tag{4}$$

and the corresponding space of gauge transformations

$$\mathcal{G}^p(X,\Sigma) \;=\; \{g \in \operatorname{Aut}(E) \;\mid\; g \in L^p_{2,A^\alpha}(X\setminus\Sigma\,;\operatorname{End} E)\}. \tag{5}$$

For reasons that will be recalled later, $\mathcal{G}^p$ is independent of α.

The space $\mathcal{G}^p$ is a Banach Lie group, acting smoothly on $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p}$. The Lie algebra of $\mathcal{G}^p(X,\Sigma)$ is $L^p_{2,A^\alpha}(X\setminus\Sigma, \mathfrak{g}_E)$. The resulting quotient is denoted $\mathcal{B}^{\alpha,p}(X,\Sigma) = \mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p}/\mathcal{G}^p$. The subspace $(\mathcal{B}^{\alpha,p})^*$ of $\mathcal{B}^{\alpha,p}$ consisting of irreducible connections is a smooth Banach manifold. We will give a new proof of this fact, by constructing an explicit slice for the action of the gauge group, see section 2.

We define

$$M^{\alpha,p}_{k,l}(X,\Sigma) = \left\{[A] \in \mathcal{B}^{\alpha,p}(X,\Sigma) \;\mid\; F_A^+ = 0\right\},$$

to be the moduli space of singular anti-self-dual connections. The deformation complex for the anti-self-duality equation is

(6)

$$0 \to L^p_{2,A^\alpha}(X\setminus\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E) \xrightarrow{d_A} L^p_{1,A^\alpha}(\Omega^1(X\setminus\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E)) \xrightarrow{d_A^+} L^p(\Omega^+(X\setminus\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E)) \to 0.$$

Kronheimer and Mrowka [**KM**] show that the index of this complex, that is the formal dimension of the moduli space $M^{\alpha,p}_{k,l}$, is given by

$$d_{k,l} = 8k + 4l - 3(b_2^+(X) - b_1(X) + 1) - (2g-2). \tag{7}$$

They also show that $M^{\alpha,p}_{k,l}$ is independent of p for p close enough to 2, and that for a generic metric on X, this moduli space is cut-out transversely away from the flat connections, and is smooth away from the reducible or flat connections.

We will also need the Chern-Weil formula for singular connections in $\mathcal{A}^\alpha$ [**KM**, proposition 5.7] :

$$\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_{X\setminus\Sigma} \mathrm{tr}\,(F_A \wedge F_A) \;=\; k + 2\alpha l - \alpha^2 \Sigma\cdot\Sigma. \tag{8}$$

1.2. Cone-like metrics. As in [**KM**], the analysis for the $L^{\otimes 2}$ component of the adjoint bundle $\mathfrak{g}_E$ near the surface Σ will be carried out by putting a cone-like metric around Σ. In [**KM**], the authors restrict themselves to cone-angles which are divisors of 2π, in order to use orbifold analysis techniques. Instead, we will consider general real cone-angles, and use the theory of edge differential operators developed in [**Ma**], as presented and slightly extended in appendix A.

The way to construct such a cone-like metric is presented in [**KM**]. We are using the notations introduced in 1.1. Let g be the metric on X, and g_Σ the metric induced on Σ. Let $p : \nu \to \Sigma$ be the natural projection. Then, the metric

$$\bar{g}_\epsilon \;=\; (1-\beta(r/\epsilon))g \;+\; \beta(r/\epsilon)(p^*g_\Sigma + dr^2 + r^2\eta^2)$$

can be made as close as we want to the metric g in the C^0-norm, over $X\setminus\Sigma$, by letting $\epsilon \to 0$. A cone-like metric $\check{g}_\epsilon$ with cone-angle $\frac{\alpha}{\kappa}2\pi$ around Σ, is defined by:

$$\check{g}_\epsilon \;=\; (1-\beta(2r/\epsilon))\bar{g}_\epsilon \;+\; \beta(2r/\epsilon)\left(p^*g_\Sigma + dr^2 + \left(\tfrac{\alpha}{\kappa}\right)^2 r^2\eta^2\right).$$

The norms defined on L^p-spaces from the different metrics g, $\bar{g}_\epsilon$ and $\check{g}_\epsilon$ are of course different, but since these metrics are comparable, they will be equivalent.

Those different metrics yield different conformal structures on $X\setminus\Sigma$. As explained in detail in [**DS**], there is a simple way to understand how a change in the conformal structure of a four-manifold affects the space of anti-self-dual

2-forms. Given a background metric on a four-manifold, with self-dual space Λ^+ and anti-self-dual space Λ^-, the anti-self-dual space for another metric will be given by the graph of a unique linear map

$$\mu : \Lambda^- \to \Lambda^+,$$

the operator norm of which is pointwise everywhere less than 1. As in [**KM**], it is straightforward to compute that the change in the conformal structure from $\breve{g}_\epsilon$ to $\bar{g}_\epsilon$ will be given by a map μ supported on an $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$-neighborhood of Σ and such that

$$|\mu| \leq \frac{\kappa/\alpha - 1}{\kappa/\alpha + 1} = \frac{\kappa - \alpha}{\kappa + \alpha} \tag{9}$$

pointwise. Since the metrics $\bar{g}_\epsilon$ and g can be made as close as we want, changing the conformal structure from $\breve{g}_\epsilon$ to g entails a map μ with a bound only slightly bigger than the one given by (9), i.e.

$$|\mu| \leq \frac{\kappa - \alpha}{\kappa + \alpha} + \delta\,, \tag{10}$$

where $\delta \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. From now on, we'll drop the subscript ϵ.

It is also possible to change the cone-angle from $\frac{\alpha}{\kappa}$ to $\frac{\alpha}{\kappa'}, \kappa' \leq \kappa$. In that case, the corresponding μ map satisfies the bound

$$|\mu| \leq \frac{\kappa - \kappa'}{\kappa + \kappa'}. \tag{11}$$

Finally, we record the following fact regarding the Hodge star operator $\breve{*}$ in the cone-angle metric :

$$\breve{*} \in \bigcap_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^\ell} L^\infty_\ell(X \setminus \Sigma; \operatorname{End} \Lambda^*), \tag{12}$$

where the L^∞_ℓ spaces are defined with the Levi-Civita connection corresponding to the round metric.

1.3. Function spaces. We recall here a number of facts regarding the function spaces L^p_{k,A^α} introduced in 1.1. Let F be any vector bundle over X, and let ∇ be a connection on F. We extend the distance function r smoothly to a function that takes the value 1 on $X \setminus N$. Then, as in [**KM**], we define $W^p_k(X; F)$ as the completion of the space of smooth sections of F, compactly supported on $X \setminus \Sigma$, in the norm

$$\|s\|_{W^p_k} \;=\; \left\| \frac{1}{r^k} s \right\|_p + \left\| \frac{1}{r^{k-1}} \nabla s \right\|_p + \cdots + \|\nabla^k s\|_p. \tag{13}$$

In fact, [**KM**, lemma 3.2] shows that

$$W^p_k = r^k L^p \cap r^{k-1} L^p_1 \cap \cdots \cap L^p_k$$

We need to know what those spaces are in terms of the edge Sobolev spaces introduced in appendix A, to which we refer for notation. Let $\tilde{X} \to X$ be the blow-up of X along the submanifold Σ in the category of real, oriented, smooth manifolds. By this, we mean that we introduce local polar coordinates around Σ and declare them to be non-singular. Actually, $\tilde{X}$ is diffeomorphic to $X \setminus \operatorname{int} N$, but not in any natural way. The manifold $\tilde{X}$ is compact with boundary $\partial\tilde{X}$. The boundary $\partial\tilde{X}$ is naturally a circle bundle over Σ, and this is the edge structure we will consider. We pull-back the bundle F to $\tilde{X}$. Then, we have :

PROPOSITION 1.1. *$W^p_k(X;F)$ is naturally isomorphic to $r^{k-1/p}W^{p,k}_e(\tilde{X};F)$.*

PROOF. Since X and $\tilde{X}$ are compact, we can work locally in a product neighborhood U of a small open subset of Σ. Hence, we can assume that the bundle F is trivial and that the metric on X is euclidean. Let (s,t) be local coordinates on $\Sigma \cap U$ extended to U, (x,y) local coordinates on the directions normal to Σ and (r,θ) the polar coordinates corresponding to (x,y). The volume form on X is given by $dVol_X = ds\wedge dt\wedge dx\wedge dy \;=\; ds\wedge dt\wedge dr\wedge rd\theta$. Since we are working locally, it is no restriction to assume that the coordinates (s,t,r,θ) are orthonormal on $\tilde{X}$ so that its volume form is given by $dVol_{\tilde{X}} = ds\wedge dt\wedge dr\wedge d\theta \;=\; \frac{1}{r}dVol_X$. This shows already that $L^p(X) = r^{-1/p}L^p(\tilde{X})$.

Now, still by compactness, it is no restriction to take the connection ∇ to be trivial over U. Hence, in polar coordinates, it acts as

$$\nabla f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}ds + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial r}dr + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}rd\theta.$$

Since $\{ds, dt, dr, rd\theta\}$ form a local orthonormal frame for $\Omega^1(X)$ and $\{r\partial_s, r\partial_t, r\partial_r, \partial_\theta\}$ generate $\mathcal{V}_e(\tilde{X})$ locally, this shows that $W^p_1(X) = r^{1-1/p}W^{p,1}_e(\tilde{X})$. The proposition for k a non-negative integer follows by induction and the Leibniz rule, noting that $ds, dt \in C^\infty(U)$ and that

$$dr,\, rd\theta \quad \in \quad \bigcap_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^\ell}L^\infty_\ell(U).$$

For general real values of k, use duality and interpolation. □

Recall that, on a tubular neighborhood N of Σ, we have fixed a splitting $E = L \oplus L^{-1}$. Therefore, near Σ, the adjoint bundle $\mathfrak{g}_E$ splits as $i\mathbb{R} \oplus L^{\otimes 2}$. We refer to $i\mathbb{R}$ as the *diagonal* component of $\mathfrak{g}_E$ near Σ and to $L^{\otimes 2}$ as the *off-diagonal* component. Remark that near Σ, the connection ∇_{A^α} is trivial on the diagonal component.

In [**KM**, proposition 3.7], it is shown that L^p_{k,A^α} consists of sections or forms that are in $L^p_k(X)$, but whose off-diagonal components lie in $W^p_k(X)$.

Therefore, the space $\mathcal{A}^\alpha$ consists of connections of the form $A^\alpha + a$, where $a \in L^p_1(\Omega^1(X;\mathfrak{g}_E))$ and the off-diagonal component of a lies in $W^p_1(\Omega^1(L^{\otimes 2}))$ near Σ. The space of gauge transformations $\mathcal{G}^p$ consists of L^p_2-sections of $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$ whose off-diagonal component lies in $W^p_2(L^{\otimes 2})$ near Σ. Note that this shows why the gauge group is independent of the holonomy parameter α. It also follows that the Lie algebra of $\mathcal{G}^p$ is given by the space of sections $u \in L^p_2(X;\mathfrak{g}_E)$ whose off-diagonal component lies in $W^p_2(L^{\otimes 2})$ near Σ.

From now on, we will denote the spaces L^p_{k,A^α} by $\tilde{L}^p_k$, emphasizing that they are independent of α. The usual Sobolev multiplication and compact embedding theorems hold for the $\tilde{L}^p_k$ spaces, as explained in [**KM**, lemma 3.8]. One just needs to consider things component by component and apply the usual theorems for unweighted Sobolev spaces.

2. A slice theorem for singular connections.

This section develops a Coulomb gauge conditions for singular connections. This yields a slice theorem for the action of the gauge group, endowing $\mathcal{B}^*$ with the structure of a smooth Banach manifold. An important point here is that the slice is given by the kernel of a differential operator, in fact the adjoint of the covariant derivative with respect to the L^2-inner product given by the round metric on the diagonal component of $\mathfrak{g}_E$ and an appropriate cone-angle metric on the off-diagonal component. In [**KM**], the slice wasn't constructed explicitly. The fact that the slice can be so given is very useful for gluing theorems, as we will illustrate in the case of manifolds with cylindrical ends. Note one serious difference between the usual Coulomb condition for non-singular connections ($B \in \ker d^*_A$) and the Coulomb condition that we present: the latter is not gauge invariant, due to the use of different metrics for the on- and off-diagonal components.

The slice will, as usual, be obtained from analyzing the twisted Laplacian on sections of $\mathfrak{g}_E$. We will investigate that Laplacian for the background connection A^α, but first we need to develop a model problem for the off-diagonal component of A^α, using the theory of edge differential operators. The analysis will be developed in the 4-dimensional case, but it is entirely similar in the 3-dimensional case.

Note that Råde [**R1**] obtained another gauge fixing condition using a metric asymptotically isometric to $\mathbb{H}^3 \times S^1$ to analyze the off–diagonal component of the connection. However, his technique fails to provide a well–defined Coulomb condition when the connection is not abelian near the embedded surface.

2.1. The model problem for the off-diagonal component. Before describing the model for the off-diagonal component near Σ, recall that we have

a surface Σ of genus g, embedded in a four-manifold X with self-intersection $n = \Sigma^2$. Take as a local model the ruled surface $H_{g,n}$, obtained by compactifying the complex line bundle of degree $-n$ over the surface Σ with a copy of Σ at infinity. Fix a diffeomorphism between the tubular neighborhood N of Σ in X and a tubular neighborhood of Σ in $H_{g,n}$. Using that diffeomorphism, pull back and extend arbitrarily to $H_{g,n}$ the cone-angle metric $\breve{g}$, the angular 1-form η, the line bundle $L^{\otimes 2}$ and the off-diagonal components of the adjoint connections ad A^0 and ad A^α. Denote these connections on $L^{\otimes 2}$ by B^0 and B^α respectively. There is no obstruction to extending $L^{\otimes 2}$ to $H_{g,n}$ since Σ is a primitive class in $H_2(H_{g,n};\mathbb{Z})$. Near Σ, $B^\alpha = B^0 + 2i\alpha\eta$.

Let $\breve{\Delta}_{\alpha,k}$ be the twisted Laplacian acting on $L^{\otimes 2}$-valued k-forms corresponding to the connection B^α, formed using the cone-angle metric $\breve{g}$. Consider the blow-up $\tilde{H}_{g,n}$ of $H_{g,n}$ and lift $\breve{\Delta}_{\alpha,k}$ to $\tilde{H}_{g,n}$. Locally near $\partial\tilde{H}_{g,n}$, the 1-form η can be integrated to (almost) an angular variable $\tilde{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. A truly angular variable is given by $\theta = \frac{\alpha}{\kappa}\tilde{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}/\frac{\alpha}{\kappa}2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. We have also the distance variable r and we choose local variables (s,t) on Σ extended to $H_{g,n}$ and lifted to $\tilde{H}_{g,n}$.

PROPOSITION 1.2. *The operators $r^2\breve{\Delta}_{\alpha,k}$ are elliptic edge for the natural edge structure on $\partial\tilde{H}_{g,n}$.*

PROOF. This is a tedious, though straightforward, computation. Here is how to do it for $\breve{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$. Let (x,y) be the Euclidean coordinates corresponding to the polar coordinates $(r,\tilde{\theta})$, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} x = r\cos\tilde{\theta} &= r\cos\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}\theta\,, \\ y = r\sin\tilde{\theta} &= r\sin\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}\theta. \end{cases}$$

Let d_0 and d_α denote the covariant exterior derivatives associated with B^0 and B^α respectively. Then, in some local trivialization of $L^{\otimes 2}$, d_0 takes the form

$$d_0 u = (\partial_s u + B_s u)\,ds + (\partial_t u + B_t u)\,dt + (\partial_x u + B_x u)\,dx + (\partial_y u + B_y u)\,dy,$$

where $B_s, \ldots, B_y$ are smooth imaginary-valued functions. Since $\breve{g}$ is a Riemannian product near Σ, one can already conclude that the terms of $\breve{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$ involving partial derivatives in s,t and B_s, B_t can be grouped to form an operator which is elliptic in ∂_s, ∂_t. Therefore, we only need to consider the terms involving x, y. Drop the (s,t)–terms in d_0 and go to (r,θ)–coordinates. Then, d_0 becomes

$$d_0 u = (\partial_r u + (B_x\cos\tfrac{\kappa}{\alpha}\theta + B_y\sin\tfrac{\kappa}{\alpha}\theta)u)\,dr + (\partial_\theta u + (-\tfrac{\kappa}{\alpha}B_x r\sin\tfrac{\kappa}{\alpha}\theta + \tfrac{\kappa}{\alpha}B_y r\cos\tfrac{\kappa}{\alpha}\theta)u)\,d\theta\,,$$

which can be rewritten

$$d_0 u = (\partial_r u + B_r u)\,dr + (\frac{1}{r}\partial_\theta u + B_\theta u)\,r d\theta\,, \tag{14}$$

where B_r, B_θ are smooth imaginary-valued functions. Hence,

$$d_\alpha u = (\partial_r u + B_r u)\, dr + (\frac{1}{r}(\partial_\theta + 2i\kappa)u + B_\theta u)\, r d\theta.$$

The cone-angle metric is given by $dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2$. Therefore, the $(dr, d\theta)$–components of $\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$ are computed as follows:

(15)

$$\begin{aligned} -\check{*}d_\alpha \check{*} d_\alpha u &= -\check{*}d_\alpha\{(\partial_r u + B_r u)\, r d\theta - (\frac{1}{r}(\partial_\theta + 2i\kappa)u + B_\theta u)\, dr\} \\ &= -\{\partial_r^2 u + (\frac{1}{r} + 2B_r)\partial_r u + \frac{1}{r^2}(\partial_\theta + 2i\kappa)^2 u + \frac{2}{r}B_\theta(\partial_\theta + 2i\kappa)u \\ &\qquad + (\partial_r B_r + \frac{1}{r}B_r + B_r^2 + \frac{1}{r}(\partial_\theta + 2i\kappa)B_\theta + B_\theta^2)u\}. \end{aligned}$$

From (15) and the remark above regarding the (s,t)–terms, it is now clear that $r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$ is edge and elliptic. □

From the calculations in the proof of proposition 1.2, we can carry out the analysis for $\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$ (Fredholmness, elliptic regularity, etc). First, we compute the typical element in the normal family of $r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$. Pick a point $z \in \Sigma$ and coordinates (s,t) centered at z on Σ, which are orthonormal at z. Lift and extend those coordinates to $\tilde{H}_{g,n}$ in the usual way. Referring to equation (A.3) in the appendix, we see the normal operator of $r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$ at z is obtained by writing $r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$ as a polynomial expression in the operators $r\partial_s, r\partial_t, r\partial_r, \partial_\theta$ with smooth coefficients, setting $r = 0$ in the coefficients, and viewing the variables (s,t) in the coefficients just as parameters. Hence, at z, we just set the parameters $s = t = 0$. Since the (s,t)–terms in $\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$ are plain elliptic, after multiplying by r^2 and setting $r = 0$ in the coefficients, we are just going to be left with the principal partial (s,t)–symbol of $\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$, multiplied by r^2. As s and t are orthonormal at z, it implies that the (s,t)–terms in $N(r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0})_z$ are $-(r^2\partial_s^2 + r^2\partial_t^2)$. To get the (r,θ)–terms, we use expression (15). Therefore, we obtain

$$N(r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0})_z = -\big(r^2\partial_s^2 + r^2\partial_t^2 + r^2\partial_r^2 + r\partial_r + (\partial_\theta + 2i\kappa)^2\big). \tag{16}$$

That expression shows that all the operators in the normal family are indeed similar. From now on, we won't mention the dependence on $z \in \Sigma$ anymore.

To get the indicial operator, remark by comparing equations (A.3) and (A.1) that one just needs to drop the (s,t)–terms in (16) :

$$\begin{aligned} I(r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}) &= -\big(r^2\partial_r^2 + r\partial_r + (\partial_\theta + 2i\kappa)^2\big) \\ &= -\big((r\partial_r)^2 + (\partial_\theta + 2i\kappa)^2\big). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

As in (A.2), substitute the complex variable ζ for $r\partial_r$ in (17) to obtain the Mellin transform:

$$I_\zeta(r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}) = -\big(\zeta^2 + (\partial_\theta + 2i\kappa)^2\big). \tag{18}$$

The spectrum of the skew-adjoint operator $\partial_\theta + 2i\kappa$ on S^1, where the variable θ runs from 0 to $\frac{\alpha}{\kappa}2\pi$, is

$$\begin{aligned} Spec(\partial_\theta + 2i\kappa) &= \{i(\tfrac{\kappa}{\alpha}m + 2\kappa)\,,\, m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &= \{i\kappa(\tfrac{m}{\alpha} + 2)\,,\, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

From (19), the boundary spectrum of $r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$, that is the values of ζ for which (18) is not invertible, is computed to be

$$spec_b(r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}) = \{\pm\kappa|\tfrac{m}{\alpha} + 2|\,,\, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \tag{20}$$

Let $\lambda_m = |\frac{m}{\alpha} + 2|$. Since $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, the set $\{\lambda_m\,,\, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is bounded away from zero. Indeed, the value of λ_m closest to zero is given either by $m = 0$ or $m = -1$. Let

$$\gamma \;=\; \min\{\lambda_m\,,\, m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \;=\; \min\{2\,,\, \tfrac{1-2\alpha}{\alpha}\}. \tag{21}$$

So, we have

LEMMA 1.3. *The real part of the boundary spectrum of $r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$, $\Re spec_b(r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0})$, can be moved away from zero as much as desired by taking κ large enough, i.e. by taking a sharp enough cone-angle. More precisely,*

$$\Re spec_b(r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}) \cap (-\kappa\gamma, \kappa\gamma) = \emptyset.$$

The normal operator $N(r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0})$ is isotropic in (s,t). To compute its associated Bessel-type operator L_0 (A.5), substitute i for ∂_s and drop the ∂_t–term:

$$L_0 = -r^2\partial_r^2 - r\partial_r + r^2 - (\partial_\theta + 2i\kappa)^2 \tag{22}$$

The operator L_0 is Fredholm on $r^\delta L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1)$ iff $\delta \notin \Re spec_b(r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}) + \frac{1}{2}$ and we need to find the values of δ for which L_0 is invertible on $r^\delta L^2$. The kernel of L_0 can readily be found by separation of variables, using (19). On the m^{th} eigenspace of $(\partial_\theta + 2i\kappa)$, L_0 acts as

$$-r^2\partial_r^2 - r\partial_r + \big(r^2 + \kappa^2\lambda_m^2\big). \tag{23}$$

This yields a modified Bessel equation. Its solutions are given by the Kelvin functions (or Bessel functions of the second kind) $K_{\kappa\lambda_m}$ and $I_{\kappa\lambda_m}$ [**Le**]. We can reject the solution $I_{\kappa\lambda_m}$ which has exponential growth. On the other hand, $K_{\kappa\lambda_m} \sim r^{-\kappa\lambda_m}$ as $r \to 0$ and decays exponentially as $r \to \infty$. Therefore,

$$\ker L_0 = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \delta \geq -\kappa\gamma + \tfrac{1}{2}. \tag{24}$$

Next, we need to determine when coker $L_0 = 0$. We use the fact that L_0 is self-adjoint with respect to the natural inner product in $r^{1/2}L^2$. The dual

of $r^\delta L^2$ in that inner product is naturally identified with $r^{1-\delta}L^2$. Hence, we simply need to know when $K_{\kappa\gamma} \notin r^{1-\delta}L^2$, which gives the condition

$$\operatorname{coker} L_0 = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \delta \leq \kappa\gamma + \tfrac{1}{2}. \tag{25}$$

Combining lemma 1.3 with (24) and (25), we have

LEMMA 1.4. *The Bessel-type operator L_0 associated to $r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$ is invertible on $r^\delta L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1)$ iff*

$$-\kappa\gamma + \tfrac{1}{2} \,<\, \delta \,<\, \kappa\gamma + \tfrac{1}{2}\,.$$

Finally, we get to the desired result:

PROPOSITION 1.5. *Let $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and let ℓ_0 a positive integer. Then, for κ large enough, the operator*

$$\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0} \,:\, W^p_\ell \to W^p_{\ell-2}$$

is invertible for $-\ell_0 + 2 \leq \ell \leq \ell_0$.

Remark that a single κ won't work for all values of $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. However, as will be apparent from the proof, κ can be chosen so as to depend continuously on α.

PROOF. By proposition 1.1, the spaces W^p_ℓ can be identified with certain weighted edge Sobolev spaces, the powers appearing in the weights being bounded in terms of ℓ_0. Lemmata 1.3 and 1.4 combined with theorem A.1 and proposition A.2 show that it is possible to choose κ so as to create enough elbow room in the distribution of the indicial roots of $r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$ for $\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$ to be Fredholm in the given range of Sobolev differentiability.

Similarly, proposition A.4 shows that elliptic regularity between these spaces holds for $\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$ if κ is large enough. Since $\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$ is formally self-adjoint in the cone-angle metric $\check{g}$, this implies that $\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$ is actually self-adjoint. Therefore, $\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$ has Fredholm index 0, and to prove the invertibility of $\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$, we just need to show that $\ker \check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0} = 0$. Let $u \in \ker \check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$. By theorem A.1, $u \sim r^{\kappa\gamma}$ as $r \to 0$. Therefore, when integrating by parts the expression

$$\int_{H_{g,n}\setminus\Sigma} \langle \check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0} u \,, u\rangle \,=\, 0\,,$$

the boundary term will converge to 0 as $r \to 0$. It follows that u must be covariantly constant with respect to the connection B^α. Since B^α is non-trivial, $u \equiv 0$. □

2.2. The Laplacian for twisted connections on $\mathfrak{g}_E$. Recall that we have two different metrics on the manifold $X \setminus \Sigma$, the round-metric g, which extends across Σ, and the cone-angle metric $\breve{g}$. Both metrics agree away from an ϵ–neighborhood of Σ. Recall also that near Σ, the adjoint bundle $\mathfrak{g}_E$ splits as the sum of trivial real bundle $i\mathbb{R}$ and $L^{\otimes 2}$. The adjoint connection ad A^α respects that splitting near Σ. In fact, ad A^α is trivial on $i\mathbb{R}$ and equals B^α (defined in section 2.1) on $L^{\otimes 2}$.

Let $\tilde{*}$ be the Hodge star operator acting on $\mathfrak{g}_E$–valued forms corresponding to the round metric for the diagonal component of $\mathfrak{g}_E$ and to the cone-angle metric for the off-diagonal component near Σ. We can then form the formal adjoint of d_{A^α} in that mixed metric :

$$d^{\tilde{*}}_{A^\alpha} = \pm \tilde{*} d_{A^\alpha} \tilde{*}. \tag{26}$$

The corresponding Laplacian $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha$ on sections of $\mathfrak{g}_E$ is

$$\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha = d^{\tilde{*}}_{A^\alpha} d_{A^\alpha}. \tag{27}$$

In general, we will use the decoration $\tilde{}$ for operators constructed using those two different metrics for the on– and off–diagonal components of $\mathfrak{g}_E$.

The following result shows that $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha$ behaves essentially like a usual Laplacian between the appropriate Sobolev spaces.

PROPOSITION 1.6. *Let ℓ_0 be a positive integer. The parameter κ can be chosen large enough so that*

$$\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha \,:\, \tilde{L}^p_\ell \to \tilde{L}^p_{\ell-2}$$

is Fredholm of index 0 for $-\ell_0 + 2 \leq \ell \leq \ell_0$. Moreover, coker $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha \subset \tilde{L}^p_{\ell_0}$ and coker $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha$ = ker $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha$.

PROOF. Let X_ϵ be a small neighborhood of $X \backslash N$ where $A^\alpha = A^0$, A^0 being the non-singular connection on E chosen in section 1.1 (see the definition of A^α in (2)). Let Δ_{A^0} be the twisted Laplacian on sections of $\mathfrak{g}_E$ constructed using the connection A^0 and the round metric g. Also let Δ be the plain Laplacian on functions on X in the round metric g.

From the construction of $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha$, it is clear that

$$\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha = \begin{cases} \Delta_{A^0} & \text{over } X_\epsilon \ , \\ \breve{\Delta}_{\alpha,0} & \text{on } L^{\otimes 2} \text{ over } N \ , \\ \Delta & \text{on } i\mathbb{R} \text{ over } N \ , \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

using the identification of N with a neighborhood of Σ in $H_{g,n}$.

Let G_{A^0} and G be parametrices for Δ_{A^0} and Δ, respectively, on X and let Γ_α be the inverse of $\breve{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$ on $H_{g,n}$ obtained from proposition 1.5. A parametrix for $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha$ can be constructed in a way similar to the one described in [**APS**] . Let

ϕ be a cut-off function supported on N with $\phi \equiv 1$ on $X \setminus X_\epsilon$, and let ψ_1, ψ_2 be cut-off functions supported on N and $X \setminus X_\epsilon$ respectively, with $\psi_1 \equiv 1$ on supp ϕ and $\psi_2 \equiv 1$ on supp $(1-\phi)$. If u is a section of $\mathfrak{g}_E$ supported on N, we denote by u_T and u_D its off– and on–diagonal components respectively.

From (28), a parametrix for $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha$ is given by

$$Qu = \psi_2 G_{A^0}((1-\phi)u) + \psi_1\big(G((\phi u)_D) + \Gamma_\alpha((\phi u)_T)\big), \tag{29}$$

Hence, $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha$ is Fredholm. Moreover, $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha$ is formally self-adjoint for the mixed inner product given by g on $i\mathbb{R}$ and by $\breve{g}$ on $L^{\otimes 2}$. Therefore the cokernel of $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha : \tilde{L}^p_\ell \to \tilde{L}^p_{\ell-2}$ is given by the kernel of $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha : \tilde{L}^q_{-\ell+2} \to \tilde{L}^q_{-\ell}$. By the usual elliptic regularity, elements of the cokernel will be smooth over $X \setminus \Sigma$, with the diagonal component extending smoothly across Σ. Moreover, if κ is large enough, the off-diagonal component will belong to W^p_2 by proposition A.4. Therefore $\operatorname{coker} \tilde{\Delta}_\alpha \subset \tilde{L}^p_{\ell_0}$. □

From here on, we can consider a general connection $A = A^\alpha + a \in \mathcal{A}^\alpha$, where

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_D & a_T \\ -\bar{a}_T & -a_D \end{pmatrix} \tag{30}$$

near Σ, with $a_D \in L^p_1(\Omega^1(X; i\mathbb{R}))$ and $a_T \in W^p_1(\Omega^1(X \setminus \Sigma; L^{\otimes 2}))$, $p > 2$. On $\mathfrak{g}_E$–valued forms, d_A acts as

$$d_A u = d_{A^\alpha} u + \begin{pmatrix} -2i\Im(a_T \wedge \bar{u}_T) & 2(a_D \wedge u_T - a_T \wedge u_D) \\ 2(a_D \wedge \bar{u}_T - \bar{a}_T) \wedge u_D & 2i\Im(a_T \wedge \bar{u}_T) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{31}$$

showing from the multiplication theorems that $d_A : \tilde{L}^p_1 \to L^p$ is a compact perturbation of d_{A^α}, see [**KM**].

Near Σ, the formal adjoint of d_A in the mixed metric is computed to be

$$d^{\tilde{*}}_A v = d^{\tilde{*}}_{A^\alpha} v + \begin{pmatrix} \pm 2i\Im * (\bar{a}_T \wedge \breve{*} v_T) & \pm 2i\breve{*}(\bar{a}_T \wedge * v_D) \pm 2\breve{*}(\bar{a}_D \wedge \breve{*} v_T) \\ \pm 2i\breve{*}(a_T \wedge * \bar{v}_D) \mp 2\breve{*}(a_D \wedge \breve{*}\bar{v}_T) & \mp 2i\Im * (\bar{a}_T \wedge \breve{*} v_T) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{32}$$

The signs depend on the degree of the form v, but are irrelevant for our purpose. Since the operator norm of $\breve{*}$ in the round metric g is uniformly bounded over $X \setminus \Sigma$, by (12), the multiplication theorems between Sobolev spaces show that $d^{\tilde{*}}_A : \tilde{L}^p_1(\Omega^1, \mathfrak{g}_E) \to L^p(\mathfrak{g}_E)$ is well-defined and is a compact perturbation of $d^*_{A^\alpha}$. It is at this point that Råde 's techniques break down. When writing down the adjoint of d_A in a mixed round/hyperbolic metric, one realizes that this adjoint is not well–defined between L^p spaces unless a_T vanishes near the surface.

We can now form the twisted Laplacian $\tilde{\Delta}_A$ associated to A in the mixed inner product :

$$\tilde{\Delta}_A = d_A^{\tilde{*}} d_A.$$

And we have for $\tilde{\Delta}_A$ a result similar to proposition 1.6:

PROPOSITION 1.7. *The parameter κ can be chosen large enough so that for any singular connection $A \in \mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p}$, the Laplacian*

$$\tilde{\Delta}_A \,:\, \tilde{L}_2^p \to L^p$$

is Fredholm of index 0. Moreover, coker $\tilde{\Delta}_A \subset L^p_{2,A^\alpha}$ and coker $\tilde{\Delta}_A = ker\, \tilde{\Delta}_A$.

PROOF. $\tilde{\Delta}_A$ is a compact perturbation of $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha$; therefore, $\tilde{\Delta}_A$ is also Fredholm of index 0. Moreover, since $\tilde{\Delta}_A$ is formally self-adjoint, $\ker \tilde{\Delta}_A \subseteq \operatorname{coker} \tilde{\Delta}_A$. Since the dimensions have to agree, the latter inclusion is an equality. □

Using proposition 1.7, we show that $\ker d_A^{\tilde{*}}$ provides a slice to the action of the gauge group $\mathcal{G}$.

PROPOSITION 1.8. *For $A \in \mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p}$, the image of d_A is closed, and admits a closed complement in L^p_{1,A^α}, given by the kernel of $d_A^{\tilde{*}}$.*

PROOF. We essentially reproduce the proof from [**Mo**]. Let

$$\Gamma_A : (\ker \tilde{\Delta}_A)^{\tilde{\perp}} \cap L^p \;\to\; (\ker \tilde{\Delta}_A)^{\tilde{\perp}} \cap L^p_{2,A^\alpha}$$

be the inverse of $\tilde{\Delta}_A$, which is well-defined by proposition 1.7. The orthogonal complements are taken with respect to the mixed inner product. Then the continuous maps

$$d_A \Gamma_A d_A^{\tilde{*}} : \tilde{L}_1^p(\Omega^1, \mathfrak{g}_E) \to \operatorname{im} d_A \subset \tilde{L}_1^p(\Omega^1, \mathfrak{g}_E)$$

and

$$\mathrm{Id} - d_A \Gamma_A d_A^{\tilde{*}} : \tilde{L}_1^p(\Omega^1, \mathfrak{g}_E) \to \ker d_A^{\tilde{*}} \subset \tilde{L}_1^p(\Omega^1, \mathfrak{g}_E)$$

are complementary idempotent operators, and therefore, yield a topological decomposition

$$\tilde{L}_1^p(\Omega^1, \mathfrak{g}_E) = \operatorname{im} d_A \oplus \ker d_A^{\tilde{*}}.$$

□

As in [**FU**] proposition 1.8 can be used with the implicit function theorem to prove :

COROLLARY 1.9. *The space $(\mathcal{B}^{\alpha,p})^*$ is a Hausdorff Banach manifold.*

As said earlier, this was already proved by Kronheimer and Mrowka [**KM**, proposition 5.6]. The main differences is that the slice is now provided explicitly.

Proposition 1.8 also allows one to roll up the deformation complex (6) for the ASD equation.

PROPOSITION 1.10. *For p close enough to 2 and $A \in \mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p}$, the operator*

$$d_A^{\tilde{*}} + d_A^+ : \tilde{L}_1^p(\Omega^1(X\setminus\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E) \to L^p(\Omega^0(X\setminus\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E) \oplus L^p(\Omega^+(X\setminus\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E) \tag{33}$$

is Fredholm and its index is given by (7) .

3. Laplacians on forms.

The analysis carried out for the Laplacian on sections of $\mathfrak{g}_E$ in sections 2.1 and 2.2 can be repeated for $\mathfrak{g}_E$–valued forms. This will be necessary in the next chapters to study the Hodge–de Rham theory of singular flat connections on three-manifolds, and to develop the analysis for ASD singular connections on manifolds with cylindrical ends.

Let $\tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha,k}$ denote the twisted Laplacian on $\mathfrak{g}_E$–valued k–forms formed from A^α over $X\setminus\Sigma$ with the mixed metric. The main technical point lies in the computation of the indicial roots of the off-diagonal component of $\tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha,k}$. Recall that the off-diagonal component is studied on a model space $H_{g,n}$, from a connection B^α pulled-back from X near Σ. The twisted Laplacian on $L^{\otimes 2}$–valued k–forms obtained from B^α using the cone-angle metric $\breve{g}$ is denoted $\breve{\Delta}_{\alpha,k}$. We will study $\breve{\Delta}_{\alpha,1}$ in detail, because, in fact, the indicial roots for Laplacians on forms of higher degree consist of the indicial roots of $\breve{\Delta}_{\alpha,1}$ and $\breve{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$.

Using the coordinates (s,t,r,θ) on $\tilde{H}_{g,n}$ as in section 2.1, we can pick $\{ds, dt, dr, rd\theta\}$ as local generators for the pull-back of $\Omega^*(H_{g,n}\setminus\Sigma)$ to $\tilde{H}_{g,n}$. Calculations similar to proposition 1.2 show that $r^2\breve{\Delta}_{\alpha,k}$ is an elliptic edge differential operator. Moreover, in the local frame $(ds, dt, dr, rd\theta)$, the normal operator of $r^2\breve{\Delta}_{\alpha,1}$ takes the form :

$$N(r^2\breve{\Delta}_{\alpha,1}) = \begin{pmatrix} N(r^2\breve{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & N(r^2\breve{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & N(r^2\breve{\Delta}_{\alpha,0})+1 & 2(\partial_\theta + 2i\kappa) \\ 0 & 0 & -2(\partial_\theta+2i\kappa) & N(r^2\breve{\Delta}_{\alpha,0})+1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{34}$$

This is just like the twisted Laplacian on 1-forms in cylindrical coordinates on the punctured 4-dimensional Euclidean space with an axial 2-dimensional

plane removed. Compare with (16) which gives the corresponding Laplacian on functions. Let $r^2\Delta'_{\alpha,1}$ denote the lower-right 2 by 2 matrix operator :

$$r^2\Delta'_{\alpha,1} = \begin{pmatrix} N(r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0})+1 & 2(\partial_\theta+2i\kappa) \\ -2(\partial_\theta+2i\kappa) & N(r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0})+1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{35}$$

in the local frame $(dr, rd\theta)$.

From (34), we see that the indicial roots of $r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,1}$ comprise those of $r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$ and those of $r^2\Delta'_{\alpha,1}$. The indicial roots of $r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0}$ were computed in section 2.1 and were shown to be as far away from zero as desired by taking the parameter κ large enough. The same holds for the indicial roots of $r^2\Delta'_{\alpha,1}$, but the proof is somewhat more involved. The indicial operator of $r^2\Delta'_{\alpha,1}$ is

$$I(r^2\Delta'_{\alpha,1}) = \begin{pmatrix} -(r\partial_r)^2+1-(\partial_\theta+2i\kappa)^2 & 2(\partial_\theta+2i\kappa) \\ -2(\partial_\theta+2i\kappa) & -(r\partial_r)^2+1-(\partial_\theta+2i\kappa)^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{36}$$

This looks in fact like the twisted Laplacian on 1–forms in polar coordinates on the punctured Euclidean plane.

The Mellin transform of $I(r^2\Delta'_{\alpha,1})$ is

$$I_\zeta(r^2\Delta'_{\alpha,1}) = \begin{pmatrix} -\zeta^2+1-(\partial_\theta+2i\kappa)^2 & 2(\partial_\theta+2i\kappa) \\ -2(\partial_\theta+2i\kappa) & -\zeta^2+1-(\partial_\theta+2i\kappa)^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{37}$$

We can decompose the coefficients of dr and $rd\theta$ according to the spectral decomposition of $(\partial_\theta+2i\kappa)$ given in (19) . The indicial roots will be given by the values of ζ for which the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\zeta^2+1+\kappa^2(2+\frac{m_1}{\alpha}) & 2i\kappa(2+\frac{m_2}{\alpha}) \\ -2i\kappa(2+\frac{m_1}{\alpha}) & -\zeta^2+1+\kappa^2(2+\frac{m_2}{\alpha}) \end{pmatrix} \tag{38}$$

is singular for some $(m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $x = 2+\frac{m_1}{\alpha}$ and $y = 2+\frac{m_2}{\alpha}$ and remember from (21) that $|x|, |y| \geq \gamma > 0$, i.e. x, y are bounded away from zero. The determinant of the matrix (38) is given by

$$\zeta^4 - \big(2+\kappa^2(x^2+y^2)\big)\zeta^2 + \big(1+\kappa^2(x^2+y^2)+\kappa^4x^2y^2-4\kappa^2xy\big). \tag{39}$$

We need to find the values of ζ for which (39) vanishes. This yields a quartic equation reducible to a quadratic equation. The discriminant is

$$\rho = \kappa^2\big(\kappa^2(x^2-y^2)^2+16xy\big) \tag{40}$$

and the solutions are given by

$$\zeta^2 = \frac{2+\kappa^2(x^2+y^2) \pm \kappa\sqrt{\kappa^2(x^2-y^2)^2+16xy}}{2} \tag{41}$$

LEMMA 1.11. *Let $(-\tau,\tau)\subset\mathbb{R}$. Then, for κ large enough,*

$$\Re spec_b(r^2\Delta'_{\alpha,1})\cap(-\tau,\tau)=\emptyset.$$

PROOF. The proof will be divided into two cases, depending on the sign of the discriminant ρ.

1. $\rho<0$. In this case, ζ^2, and ζ, will be complex. Note that $\Re(\zeta^2)=\dfrac{2+\kappa^2(x^2+y^2)}{2}$ is positive, and since $|x|,|y|$ are bounded away from zero, $\Re(\zeta^2)$ can be moved away from zero as much as desired. The lemma now follows from the fact that if z is a complex number with $\Re z\geq 0$, then
$$|\Re\sqrt{z}|\geq\sqrt{\Re z}.$$
2. $\rho\geq 0$. Let $a=2+\kappa^2(x^2+y^2)$ and $b=\sqrt{\rho}$. There is no problem for the indicial roots of the form $\zeta=\pm\sqrt{\dfrac{a+b}{2}}$. Let's consider the case where

$$\zeta=\pm\sqrt{\frac{a-b}{2}}. \tag{42}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} a^2-b^2&=4+4\kappa^2(x^2+y^2)-16\kappa^2xy+4\kappa^4x^2y^2\\ &\geq C_1\,\kappa^4(x^2+y^2)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

provided κ is large enough, since $|x|,|y|\geq\gamma$. Moreover, direct examination shows that

$$a,b\leq C_2\,\kappa^2(x^2+y^2) \tag{44}$$

if κ is large enough. It follows from (43) and (44) that

$$a-b=\frac{a^2-b^2}{a+b}\geq C_3\,\kappa^2(x^2+y^2)\geq 2C_3\,\kappa^2\gamma^2. \tag{45}$$

A glance at (42) shows that the indicial roots ζ can indeed be moved away from zero as much as desired by taking κ large enough.

□

In view of the structure of $N(r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,1})$ displayed in (34), lemmata 1.3 and 1.11 yield

LEMMA 1.12. *For any interval $(-\tau,\tau)\subset\mathbb{R}$, the parameter κ can be chosen large enough so that*

$$(-\tau,\tau)\cap\Re spec_b(r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,1})=\emptyset.$$

The Bessel-type operator associated to $N(r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,1})$ is computed to be

$$L_0 \;=\; I(r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,1}) + r^2\mathrm{Id}. \tag{46}$$

We need to determine when L_0 is invertible.

LEMMA 1.13. *For any interval $(-\tau,\tau)\subset\mathbb{R}$, the parameter κ can be chosen large enough so that L_0 be invertible on $r^\delta L^2$ for $\delta\in(-\tau+\frac{1}{2},\tau+\frac{1}{2})$.*

PROOF. The operator $I(r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,1})$ is easily seen to be self-adjoint and semi-positive with respect to the natural inner product on $r^{1/2}L^2$. Moreover, for κ large enough, $I(r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,1})$ is invertible in view of lemma 1.12. Therefore, its spectrum is bounded away from zero. On the other hand the multiplication operator $r^2\mathrm{Id}$ is obviously positive and self-adjoint. Therefore, Rayleigh quotients show that the spectrum of L_0 is also positive and bounded away from zero. Hence, L_0 is invertible on $r^{1/2}L^2$. Since the kernel and cokernel of L_0 can jump only for values of $\delta\in\Re spec_b+\frac{1}{2}$, the operator L_0 will stay invertible on $r^\delta L^2$ for δ in an interval centered around $\frac{1}{2}$ that can be made as large as desired by taking κ large enough. □

PROPOSITION 1.14. *Let $\alpha\in(0,\frac{1}{2})$ and let ℓ_0 be a positive integer. Then, for κ large enough, the operators*

$$\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,k} : W^p_\ell \to W^p_{\ell-2}$$

are Fredholm for $-\ell_0+2\le\ell\le\ell_0$.

PROOF. This follows immediately from theorem A.1 and lemma 1.13. For Laplacians on higher order froms, the only thing to remark is that the building blocks of their normal operators will also consist of $N(r^2\check{\Delta}_{\alpha,0})$ and $r^2\Delta'_{\alpha,1}$. □

Now, we denote by $\tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha,k}$ the twisted Laplacians acting on $\mathfrak{g}_E$-valued k-forms, obtained using the mixed inner product. A parametrix for $\tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha,k}$ can be constructed in the same way as for $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha$ in the proof of proposition 1.6. Therefore, we obtain a similar result :

PROPOSITION 1.15. *The parameter κ can be chosen large enough so that*

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha,k} \;:\; \tilde{L}^p_\ell \to \tilde{L}^p_{\ell-2}$$

is Fredholm of index 0 for $-\ell_0+2\le\ell\le\ell_0$. Moreover, elliptic regularity holds in that range of Sobolev differentiability and coker $\tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha,k}$ = ker $\tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha,k}\subset\tilde{L}^p_\ell$.

From the results obtained so far, it would be easy to recover and streamline the analytical results of [**KM**], like regularity, compactness, etc. For instance, our proof that $\operatorname{im} d_A$ is closed is much more straightforward [**KM**, lemma 5.5]. The trick of [**DS**] would still be needed to change the conformal structure on

the off-diagonal component of the connection. Instead of repeating the anlysis of [**KM**] in that new framework, we are going to show how the results obtained here can be used to develop a theory of moduli of singular connections on certain manifolds with cylindrical ends. The analytical techniques are inspired from those of [**LM**], with a number of tricks coming from [**DS**]. But we first need to investigate the 3-dimensional picture.

CHAPTER 2

Three-dimensional Theory.

In this chapter, we develop a Chern-Simons theory for connections over a 3–manifold M which are singular along a knot K. The theory could easily be extended to cover the more general case of a link. The first section describes how the set-up adopted in the four–dimensional case can be adapted to the three-dimenional case. The second section defines the Chern–Simons functional CS^α as a section of a flat circle bundle on the space of connections modulo gauge equivalence. The critical points of CS^α are as usual flat connections and the gradient–flow lines for CS^α can be seen as the singular ASD connections on the cylinder $(M, K) \times \mathbb{R}$ studied in chpater 3. The last section studies the Hessian of the Chern–Simons functional. There, the Hodge–de Rham theory of flat singular connections is studied, leading to a topological condition for determining when a flat singular connection is a non–degenerate critical point of CS^α (proposition 2.10, theorem 2.11).

1. Set-up.

Let K be an oriented knot in a homology 3-sphere M, endowed with some Riemannian metric. In this case, the set-up described in section 1.1 carries over directly, but can be somewhat simplified. First, the knot K has a natural framing and we denote its longitude by λ and its meridian by μ. As above, we choose a connection 1-form η for the normal circle bundle of K. We consider the trivial $SU(2)$-bundle over S^3 and its trivial $U(1)$-subbundle corresponding to the diagonal embedding of $U(1)$ in $SU(2)$. We now take as the background connection A^α the flat abelian connection (unique up to gauge) with holonomy $\exp(-2i\pi\alpha)$ around the meridian of K. We also arrange that the connection 1-form of A^α agrees with η over a tubular neighborhood of K. Then, for $p \geq 2$, the definitions (4) and (5) of the spaces of connections $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p}(M, K)$ and gauge transformations $\mathcal{G}^p(M, K)$ carry over immediately, and we can form the corresponding quotient $\mathcal{B}^{\alpha,p}(M, K) = \mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p}(M, K)/\mathcal{G}^p(M, K)$.

The analysis carried out in the four-dimensional case carries over immediately to the three-dimensional case. In fact the indicial operators associated to the twisted Laplacians on the off-diagonal components of the connections in the cone-like metric are the same in either dimension. Moreover, due to the

isotropy of the normal operators, the associated Bessel-type operators are also identical. Therefore, if we take a sharp enough cone-angle for the off-diagonal component of the mixed metric, we have among other things:

PROPOSITION 2.1. *The space of irreducible singular connections $(\mathcal{B}^{\alpha,p})^*$ on M is a Hausdorff Banach manifold. A slice transverse to the action of the gauge group $\mathcal{G}^p$ at the connection A is given by $\ker d_A^*$.*

We will refer to the real algebraic variety of conjugacy classes of representations of $\pi_1(M\backslash K)$ into $SU(2)$ as the character variety of $M\backslash K$, and will denote it $\chi(K)$. The set of gauge-equivalence classes of flat connections on $M\setminus K$ is in one-to-one correspondence with $\chi(K)$. By restriction to the boundary of a tubular neighborhood of K, $\chi(K)$ maps into the character variety of the torus, commonly referred to as the the "pillowcase".

PROPOSITION 2.2. *A gauge transformation $g \in \mathcal{G}^p(M,K)$ is continuous and maps K into $U(1)$. Moreover,*

$$\pi_0(\mathcal{G}^p) \cong \mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}\,,$$

the first integer being given by the degree of $g : M \to SU(2)$, and the second integer by the degree of $g|_K : K \to U(1)$.

PROOF. Since $W_2^p \subset L_2^p$ and $L_2^p \subset C^0$ by the Sobolev embedding theorem, we see that g is indeed continuous. Now assume that for some $z \in K$, the off-diagonal component g_T of g doesn't vanish. Then g_T is bounded away from zero on a whole neighborhood of z in M. But this implies that $\frac{1}{r^2}g_T \notin L^p$, a contradiction.

Therefore $\pi_0(\mathcal{G}) = [(M,K),(S^3,S^1)]$. Showing that the latter is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}$ is an elementary exercise in obstruction theory, which we present for the sake of completeness. To show surjectivity, take any map $f : K \to S^1$ with the desired S^1-degree. Since $H^4(M,K) = 0$, f can be extended to M as a map into S^3. Moreover, the S^3-degree of f can be changed arbitrarily by changing f on a small ball away from K. For injectivity, assume that f and g are two maps with the same degrees. It is then possible to find a homotopy $H : [0,1]\times K \to S^1$ between f and g on K. The obstruction to extending H to S^3 lies in $H^4([0,1]\times M, \{0,1\}\times M \cup [0,1]\times K) \cong H^4([0,1]\times M, \{0,1\}\times M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and is precisely given by the difference in the S^3-degrees. □

COROLLARY 2.3.

$$\pi_1((\mathcal{B}^{\alpha,p})^*) \cong \mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}.$$

PROOF. Let $\hat{\mathcal{G}}^p = \mathcal{G}^p/\pm 1$. Then $\pi_0(\hat{\mathcal{G}}^p) \cong \mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}$, since 1 and -1 are obviously homotopic. The space $(\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p})^*$ of irreducible connections is contractible, because the space of abelian connections is a submanifold of $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p}$

of infinite codimension [**Mo**]. Now, the action of $\mathcal{G}^p$ on $(\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p})^*$ (the space of irreducible connections) descends to a free action of $\hat{\mathcal{G}}^p$ on $(\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p})^*$. Therefore, $\pi_1((\mathcal{B}^{\alpha,p})^*) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. □

2. The Chern-Simons functional.

As mentioned briefly in [**Kr**], it is possible to define an analogue to the Chern-Simons functional for singular connections. However, in contrast to the usual Chern-Simons function, which is $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$-valued, the functional that we will define is in fact a section of a flat S^1 bundle over the space of connections $\mathcal{B}^\alpha$. The idea of defining a Chern-Simons functional as a section of a bundle goes back to [**RSW**] for 3-manifolds with boundary. However, in their definition, the S^1–bundle is not flat. As in the usual case, the gradient of our Chern-Simons functional (with respect to the flat connection on the S^1–bundle) will be essentially the curvature operator.

2.1. Definition. As in [**DK**], the approach we are taking to define the Chern-Simons functional is by integrating the energy of an extension of the connection to a four–dimensional manifold. Let M bound a four-manifold with boundary X and choose a surface $\Sigma \subset X$ so that $\Sigma \cap M = \partial\Sigma = K$. Extend the trivial $SU(2)$-bundle on M to a bundle $\tilde{E}$ on X, and the diagonal $U(1)$-subbundle to a subbundle $\tilde{L}$ on a tubular neighborhood N of Σ in X. Also, extend the form η to N. Let A be a singular connection in $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p}(M)$. Extend A to a singular connection $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p}(X)$. Then we define

$$\widetilde{CS}^{\alpha}(A) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_{X\setminus\Sigma} \operatorname{tr} F_{\tilde{A}} \wedge F_{\tilde{A}} - c_2(\tilde{E})[X] + 2\alpha c_1(\tilde{L})[\Sigma] + \alpha^2 \Sigma\cdot\Sigma, \tag{47}$$

where $c_1(\tilde{L}) \in H^2(N, N\cap M)$ is the relative Chern class of $\tilde{L}$ with respect to its fixed trivialization on $N\cap M$, $c_2(\tilde{E}) \in H^4(X, M)$ is the relative Chern class of $\tilde{E}$, and the self-intersection of Σ is taken with respect to the natural framing of $K = \partial\Sigma$ in M. The Chern–Weil formula (8) shows that the definition (47) is independent of the choices made in the extensions. To see this, one just needs to glue two different extensions together. Moreover, the same formula shows that if g is a gauge transformation in $\mathcal{G}^p$, then

$$\widetilde{CS}^{\alpha}(g^*A) = \widetilde{CS}^{\alpha}(A) + \deg g - 2\alpha \deg g|_K. \tag{48}$$

Consider the trivial S^1-bundle $\mathcal{A}^\alpha \times S^1$. Define a flat S^1-bundle over $\mathcal{B}^\alpha$ by the identification

$$(A, z) \sim (g^*A, z\exp(-4i\pi\alpha \deg g|_K)).$$

Then, (48) shows that $\widetilde{CS}^{\alpha}$ descends to $\mathcal{B}^\alpha$ as a section of that flat bundle. This section is our Chern-Simons functional and will be denoted CS^α.

We will give formulae for CS^α, or rather $\widetilde{CS}^\alpha$, in terms of connection matrices, and we are going to compute its differential.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let $A \in \mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p}$ and let $b = \frac{1}{i}A_D - \eta$, where A_D is the diagonal component of A. Then*

$$\widetilde{CS}^\alpha(A) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_{M\setminus K} tr\,(A\wedge dA + \tfrac{2}{3}A\wedge A\wedge A) + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\int_K b. \tag{49}$$

PROOF. First, note that all the terms in the RHS of (49) make sense and that it is continuous in A by the Sobolev multiplication and restriction theorems. Therefore, we can reason by density, and assume that A is smooth on $M\setminus K$ and that its off-diagonal component vanishes close enough to K.

Choose X and Σ as in the definition of $\widetilde{CS}^\alpha$, but arrange that Σ be a disk with zero self-intersection, which can always be done. The extended bundles $\tilde{E}$ and $\tilde{L}$ can also be chosen to be trivial, and let $\tilde{A}$ be an extension of A to $\tilde{E}$. For ϵ small, let M_ϵ be the complement of the ϵ-neighborhood of K in M and let Σ_ϵ be the boundary of the ϵ- tubular neighborhood of Σ in X. Then $M_\epsilon \cup \Sigma_\epsilon$ is a closed 3-manifold. From the extension definition of the Chern-Simons functional for non-singular connections,

(50)
$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{CS}^\alpha(A) &= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} CS(\tilde{A}|_{M_\epsilon\cup\Sigma_\epsilon})\\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\Big(\int_{M_\epsilon}\mathrm{tr}(A\wedge dA + \tfrac{2}{3}A\wedge A\wedge A) + \int_{\Sigma_\epsilon}\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{A}\wedge d\tilde{A} + \tfrac{2}{3}\tilde{A}\wedge\tilde{A}\wedge\tilde{A})\\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_{M\setminus K}\mathrm{tr}(A\wedge dA + \tfrac{2}{3}A\wedge A\wedge A) + \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\Sigma_\epsilon}\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{A}\wedge d\tilde{A} + \tfrac{2}{3}\tilde{A}\wedge\tilde{A}\wedge\tilde{A}).\end{aligned}$$

We need to compute the last term. It is no restriction to assume that the extension was chosen so that near Σ,

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} i\tilde{b} & 0\\ 0 & -i\tilde{b}\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} i\alpha & 0\\ 0 & -i\alpha\end{pmatrix}\eta\,,$$

where $\tilde{b}$ is an extension of b. Hence,

$$\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{A}\wedge d\tilde{A} + \tfrac{2}{3}\tilde{A}\wedge\tilde{A}\wedge\tilde{A}) = -2(\tilde{b}+\alpha\eta)\wedge d\tilde{b}.$$

Since $\tilde{b}$ is smooth,

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\Sigma_\epsilon}\tilde{b}\wedge d\tilde{b} = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} -2\alpha \int_{\Sigma_\epsilon} \eta\wedge d\tilde{b} &= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} -2\alpha \int_{\Sigma_\epsilon} d(\eta\wedge\tilde{b}) \\ &= 2\alpha \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial M_\epsilon} \eta\wedge b \\ &= 4\pi\alpha\int_K b.\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

To go from the first to the second line, we used Stokes's theorem and the fact that $\partial\Sigma_\epsilon = \partial M_\epsilon$ with the opposite orientation. Plugging (51) into (50) yields the desired formula. □

2.2. The differential and the critical points of CS^α.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *The differential of $\widetilde{CS}^\alpha$ at a connection A is given by:*

$$D_A\widetilde{CS}^\alpha(a) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{M\setminus K} tr\,(F_A\wedge a). \tag{52}$$

PROOF. Reasoning by density, we can assume that near K,

$$a = i\begin{pmatrix}\rho & 0\\ 0 & -\rho\end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$A = i\begin{pmatrix}b+\alpha\eta & 0\\ 0 & -b-\alpha\eta\end{pmatrix},$$

where $b,\rho\in L^p_1(M\setminus K,\mathbb{R})$. Then, from (49),

$$\begin{aligned}D_A\widetilde{CS}^\alpha(a) &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_{M\setminus K}\mathrm{tr}(a\wedge dA + A\wedge da + 2A\wedge A\wedge a) + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\int_K\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_{M\setminus K}\mathrm{tr}(2F_A\wedge a - d(A\wedge a)) + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\int_K\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_{M\setminus K}\mathrm{tr}(2F_A\wedge a) - \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{M_\epsilon} A\wedge a + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\int_K\rho.\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Computing the limit as in the end of the proof of proposition 2.4 shows that the last two terms of (53) cancel. □

From proposition 2.5, we see that the gradient of $\widetilde{CS}^\alpha$ is given by

$$\nabla\widetilde{CS}^\alpha(A) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} * F_A \tag{54}$$

in the round metric, and by

$$\tilde{\nabla}\widetilde{CS}^\alpha(A) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\tilde{*}F_A \tag{55}$$

in the mixed inner product.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *The critical points of the functional CS^α are given by the gauge equivalence classes of flat connections on $M\backslash K$ whose holonomy along the meridian of K has trace equal to $2\cos 2\pi\alpha$. In other words, the critical points are in one-to-one correspondence with the set of $SU(2)$-representations ρ of $\pi_1(M\setminus K)$ such that*

$$\rho([\mu]) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-2i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{56}$$

modulo the adjoint action of $U(1) \subset SU(2)$.

PROOF. All we need to show is that any flat connection on $M\setminus K$ with meridinal holonomy conjugate to (56) can be gauge-transformed into $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p}$. Let A be such a flat connection.

Let T be a small tubular neighborhood of K. Since $\pi_1(T\setminus K) \cong \mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}$, it follows that $A_{T\setminus K}$ is abelian. Therefore, after a gauge transformation g on $T\setminus K$, $g^*A|_{T\setminus K}$ takes the form

$$g^*A_{T\setminus K} = \begin{pmatrix} i\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & -i\alpha \end{pmatrix}\eta + \begin{pmatrix} i\beta & 0 \\ 0 & -i\beta \end{pmatrix} dt\,, \tag{57}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ is the longitudinal coordinate in T, and $\beta \in [0,1)$. Since $g|_{\partial T} : \partial T \to SU(2)$ is null-homotopic, g can be extended to M. From (57), it is clear that the diagonal term of $g^*A - A^\alpha \in L^p_1$ and that its off-diagonal term is in W^p_1. □

A connection matrix that takes the form given in (57) near Σ will be said to be in *nice form*.

We denote the set of critical points of CS^α by

$$\mathcal{F}^\alpha(M,K) = \{[A] \in \mathcal{B}^{\alpha,p}(M,K) \mid F_A = 0\}.$$

Proposition 2.6 shows that $\mathcal{F}^\alpha$ is independent of p.

We would now like to understand the deformation complex of the Chern-Simons functional, to determine among other things, under which conditions a critical point of $\widetilde{CS}^\alpha$ will be non-degenerate.

3. The deformation complex.

From (54), the Hessian of $4\pi^2\widetilde{CS}^\alpha$ at a critical point A is given by $*d_A$. Therefore, the deformation complex for CS^α is given by :

(58)

$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{L}^p_2(\Omega^0(M\setminus K\,;\mathfrak{su}_2)) \xrightarrow{d_A} \tilde{L}^p_1(\Omega^1(M\setminus K\,;\mathfrak{su}_2)) \xrightarrow{*d_A} L^p(\Omega^1(M\setminus K\,;\mathfrak{su}_2)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

As usual in Floer theory, this complex is not Fredholm, the last mapping having generally an infinite dimensional cokernel. The complex is completed in the following way, which has the advantage of tying in well with the anti-self-duality equation on the cylinder :

(59)
$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{L}^p_2(\Omega^0(M\setminus K\,;\mathfrak{su}_2)) \xrightarrow{d_A} \tilde{L}^p_1(\Omega^1(M\setminus K\,;\mathfrak{su}_2)) \oplus \tilde{L}^p_1(\Omega^0(M\setminus K\,;\mathfrak{su}_2)) \xrightarrow{*d_A+d_A} L^p(\Omega^1(M\setminus K\,;\mathfrak{su}_2)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 2.7. *Let A be a critical point of $\widetilde{CS}^\alpha$. Then, for p larger than, but close enough to 2, the complex* (59) *is Fredholm, i.e. its homology is finite-dimensional and the image of each map is closed.*

Proof. We are going to use the relation between (59) and the deformation complex of the anti-self-duality equation. Let $(X,\Sigma) = (M,K)\times S^1$ and endow X with the product metric. Let $p : X \to M$ be the natural projection and pull back A^α to X with p. Then, as is well-known [**F1**], we have natural identifications

$$\Lambda^0(X) \cong p^*\Lambda^0(M)\,, \tag{60}$$

$$\Lambda^1(X) \cong p^*\Lambda^0(M) \oplus p^*\Lambda^1(M)\,, \tag{61}$$

$$\Lambda^+(X) \cong p^*\Lambda^1(M). \tag{62}$$

The identification (61) is given by considering the M- and S^1-components of a 1-form on X. The identification (62) is obtained by sending a 1-form ω to $(\omega\wedge dt)^+$, the self-dual part of $\omega\wedge dt$, where t is a unit-length coordinate on S^1. When the above identifications are made, the deformation complex for the ASD equation (6) at p^*A becomes

(63)
$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{L}^p_2(p^*\Omega^0(M\setminus K\,;\mathfrak{su}_2)) \xrightarrow{\partial_t+d_A} \tilde{L}^p_1(p^*\Omega^1(M\setminus K\,;\mathfrak{su}_2))\oplus\tilde{L}^p_1(p^*\Omega^0(M\setminus K\,;\mathfrak{su}_2)) \xrightarrow{\partial_t+*d_A+d_A} L^p(p^*\Omega^1(M\setminus K\,;\mathfrak{su}_2)) \longrightarrow 0,$$

which is known to be Fredholm for p close enough to 2 by the analysis carried out in [**KM**]. Comparing the two complexes, we see that (59) is simply the invariant part of (63) under the action of the obvious S^1 group of isometries on X. Averaging over S^1 shows that the homology of (59) is simply the S^1-invariant part of the homology of (63), and is therefore finite-dimensional. □

Let $\mathcal{H}^i_A$ be the i^{th} homology group of the complex (59). We are going to use an alteration of (59) in the mixed metric as a prop to compute $\mathcal{H}^*_A$:

(64)

$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{L}^p_2(\Omega^0(M\setminus K\,;\mathfrak{su}_2)) \xrightarrow{d_A} \tilde{L}^p_1(\Omega^1(M\setminus K\,;\mathfrak{su}_2)) \oplus \tilde{L}^p_1(\Omega^0(M\setminus K\,;\mathfrak{su}_2)) \xrightarrow{\tilde{*}d_A+d_A} L^p(\Omega^1(M\setminus K\,;,\mathfrak{su}_2)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The only thing that has changed is that we are now considering the Hessian $\tilde{*}d_A$ of $4\pi^2\widetilde{CS}^\alpha$ at A with respect to the mixed inner product instead of the Hessian in the round metric. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^*_A$ be the homology of the complex (64).

LEMMA 2.8. *The complexes* (59) *and* (64) *have the same index.*

PROOF. On $X = M \times S^1$, the deformation complex for the ASD equation (6) and the corresponding complex for an ASD equation in the mixed metric are homotopic, by changing continuously the conformal structure on the off-diagonal component. Moreover, by results of [**KM**], the homotopy is through Fredholm complexes if p is close enough to 2. Therefore, the same is true for (59) and (64) since they are just the invariant parts of the two ASD complexes. Hence, their indices are the same. □

LEMMA 2.9. *For A a flat connection, $im\, *d_A : \tilde{L}^p_1(\Omega^1) \to L^p(\Omega^1)$ is orthogonal to $im\, d_A : \tilde{L}^p_1(\Omega^0) \to L^p(\Omega^1)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{H}^1_A$ splits as*

$$\mathcal{H}^1_A = \mathcal{H}'_A \oplus \mathcal{H}''_A\,,$$

where $\mathcal{H}'_A \subseteq \Omega^1$ and $\mathcal{H}''_A \subseteq \Omega^0$. Similarly, $im\,\tilde{*}d_A$ is orthogonal to $im\, d_A$ in the mixed inner product and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^1_A$ splits as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^1_A = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}'_A \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{H}}''_A.$$

Remark that in fact, $\mathcal{H}'_A$ is the first homology group of the complex (58) and, as such, can be seen as the kernel of the Hessian of CS^α.

PROOF. Since A is flat, integration by parts shows that

$$\int_{M\setminus K} \langle d_A u, *d_A v\rangle = 0$$

if the off-diagonal components of $u \in \Omega^0(M\setminus K;\mathfrak{g}_E)$ and $v \in \Omega^1(M\setminus K;\mathfrak{g}_E)$ vanish near Σ. The result follows then by density. The same proof applies in the mixed inner product. □

PROPOSITION 2.10. *The homology groups of* (64) *are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^0_A &\cong H^0(M\setminus K; ad\, A),\\ \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^1_A &= \tilde{\mathcal{H}}'_A \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{H}}''_A\\ &\cong ker\,(H^1(M\setminus K; ad\, A) \to H^1(\mu; ad\, A)) \oplus H^0(M\setminus K; ad\, A),\\ \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^2_A &\cong \tilde{\mathcal{H}}'_A.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the index of the complex (64) *is zero.*

PROOF. First, assume that A has been gauge-transformed, so that near Σ, A is in nice form, as given in (57). Then, the analysis carried out for the Laplacians associated to the background connection A^α applies *verbatim* to A, and so proposition 1.15 applies.

Now, $\ker d_A = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^0_A = 0$ if A is irreducible. If A is abelian and $u \in \ker d_A$, then u is smooth and $u = i\,\mathrm{diag}\,(a, -a)$ near Σ, for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$. This shows that $u \in \tilde{L}^p_2$, and thus yields the isomorphisms for $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^0_A$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}''_A$.

Lemma 2.9 shows that $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}'_A = \ker d_A/\mathrm{im}\, d_A$ and therefore, the slice theorem (proposition 2.1) implies that $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}'_A \cong \ker d_A \cap \ker d^*_A$. It follows that $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}'_A \subseteq \ker \tilde{\Delta}_{A,1}$. Moreover, if the cone-angle is sharp enough, integration by parts shows that $\ker \tilde{\Delta}_{A,1} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{H}}'_A$. Now, $H_1(\mu; \mathrm{ad}\, A) \cong \mathbb{R}$, since A is abelian but non-central on μ, and a generator for $H_1(\mu; \mathrm{ad}\, A)$ is given by the diagonal lifting of μ. Since the diagonal component ω_D of an element $\omega \in \ker \tilde{\Delta}_{A,1}$ is in $C^\infty(M)$ by elliptic regularity, we see that

$$\int_\mu \omega_D = 0$$

by shrinking the meridian μ towards K. Therefore, $\ker \tilde{\Delta}_{A,1} \subseteq \ker(H^1(M\setminus K; \mathrm{ad}\, A) \to H^1(\mu; \mathrm{ad}\, A))$.

Consider the natural map $\phi : \tilde{\mathcal{H}}'_A \cong \ker \tilde{\Delta}_{A,1} \longrightarrow \ker\,(H^1(M\setminus K; \mathrm{ad}\, A) \to H^1(\mu; \mathrm{ad}\, A))$. We need to show that ϕ is an isomorphism. First, we show that ϕ is surjective by a Mayer–Vietoris argument. Let ω be a smooth representative of some class in $\ker(H^1(M\setminus K; \mathrm{ad}\, A) \to H^1(\mu; \mathrm{ad}\, A))$. If N is a tubular neighborhood of K, then $\ker\,(H^1(N\setminus K; \mathrm{ad}\, A) \to H^1(\mu; \mathrm{ad}\, A)) \cong \mathbb{R}$ and is generated by the $\mathfrak{su}_2$-valued 1-form $\lambda = i\ \mathrm{diag}\ (dt, -dt) \in \tilde{L}^p_1$, where t is a coordinate on K, extended to N. The form ω restricted to $N\setminus K$ is therefore cohomologous to $a\lambda$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e. $\omega - a\lambda = d\xi$ for some section ξ on $N\setminus K$. Hence, $\bar{\omega} = \psi a\lambda + (1-\psi)(\omega - d\xi)$, where ψ is a cut-off function supported on N, is cohomologous to ω and belongs to $\tilde{L}^p_1$.

To show that ϕ is injective, we adapt the technique of [**APS**]. Let $\pi : \tilde{M} \to M$ be the oriented real blow-up of M along K and pull back A to $\tilde{M}$. Assume

that $\omega \in \ker \tilde{\Delta}_{A,1}$ is exact. We have $\pi^*\omega \in L^p_1(\tilde{M})$, as is easily checked in local coordinates and $\omega = d_A\sigma$ for some form $\sigma \in L^p_2(\tilde{M}) \subseteq r^{-1/q}L^p_2(M)$, by Hodge theory on manifolds with boundary. Then, using the mixed metric to define L^2-norms on M,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega\|_2^2 &= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{M_\epsilon} \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{*}\omega\wedge\omega) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{M_\epsilon} \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{*}\omega\wedge d_A\sigma - d_A\tilde{*}\omega\wedge\sigma) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{M_\epsilon} \mathrm{tr}(d_A(\tilde{*}\omega\wedge\sigma)) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial M_\epsilon} \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{*}\omega\wedge\sigma) \\ &= 0\,, \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

given the asymptotics of ω. To go from the first to the second line of (65), notice that the last term of the second line is zero since $d^{\tilde{*}}_A\omega = 0$. Hence $\omega \equiv 0$ and we have proved the injectivity of ϕ.

For the last homology group $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^2_A$, remark by duality that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^2_A \cong \mathrm{coker}(\tilde{*}d_A + d_A) \cong \{\omega \in L^q \mid d^{\tilde{*}}_A\omega = \tilde{*}d_A\omega = 0\}. \tag{66}$$

By elliptic regularity, this implies that $\mathrm{coker}(\tilde{*}d_A + d_A) = \ker\tilde{\Delta}_{A,1} \subset L^p$. Hence, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^2_A \cong \tilde{\mathcal{H}}'_A$.

When the connection matrix of A is not in nice form, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^0_A = \ker d_A$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^1_A = \ker d_A/\mathrm{im}\, d_A \oplus \ker d_A$ can be computed from above by gauge equivariance. Formula (66) still holds by duality and so does elliptic regularity for $\tilde{\Delta}_{A,1}$. Therefore, the above reasoning goes through. □

The same identifications hold for the deformation complex in the round metric (59).

THEOREM 2.11. *For A a flat connection,*

$$\mathcal{H}^*_A \cong \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^*_A.$$

PROOF. First, notice that $\mathcal{H}^0_A = \ker d_A = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^0_A$ and $\mathcal{H}^1_A = \ker d_A/\mathrm{im}\, d_A \oplus \ker d_A = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^1_A$. To compute $\mathcal{H}^2_A$, we are using the fact that the complex (59) is gauge-equivariant. Hence, we can assume that A is in nice form.

By duality,

$$\mathcal{H}^2_A \cong \mathrm{coker}(*d_A + d_A) \cong \{\omega \in L^q \mid d^*_A\omega = *d_A\omega = 0\}.$$

The group $\mathcal{H}^2_A$ can be identified with the S^1-invariant part of $\mathrm{coker}\, d^+_A$ on $(M\backslash K)\times S^1$. Now, the proof of [**KM**, lemma 5.4] shows that $\ker(d^*_A + *d_A)\cap$

$L^q \subset L^p$, i.e. a limited version of elliptic regularity holds. Hence, we can assume that $p = q = 2$. Let $\omega \in \ker(d_A^* + *d_A) = \mathcal{H}_A^2$. By the standard elliptic regularity for the diagonal component ω_D of ω, we see that $\omega_D \in C^\infty(M)$, and thus that $[\omega] \in \ker(H^1(M\backslash K; \operatorname{ad} A) \to H^1(\mu; \operatorname{ad} A))$ by reasoning as above. In view of lemma 2.8, proposition 2.10 and the identifications already obtained, it is enough to show that any class in $\ker(H^1(M\backslash K; \operatorname{ad} A) \to H^1(\mu; \operatorname{ad} A))$ has an L^2 harmonic representative. The Mayer–Vietoris argument presented in the proof of proposition 2.10 shows that any such class has an L^2 representative. The fact that the representative can then be chosen to be harmonic is the content of a theorem of de Rham-Kodaira [**dR**]. □

Theorem 2.11 and proposition 2.10 are very important because they give topological identifications for the homology of the deformation complexes. In fact $\mathcal{H}'_A \cong \tilde{\mathcal{H}}'_A$ can be seen as the (Zariski) tangent space of $\mathcal{F}^\alpha$ at A. The above results express the fact that this tangent space is just the restriction of the tangent space of the character variety $\chi(K)$ of $M\backslash K$ at A (identified with $H^1(M \setminus K; \operatorname{ad} A)$) to the space of connections whose meridinal trace is fixed by α. This is what should intuitively have been expected.

In a way similar to [**DFK**], we adopt the following conventions :

A flat connection $A \in \mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p}$ is said to be *acyclic* if the homology of either deformation complex vanishes. It is said to be *isolated* if $\mathcal{H}'_A = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}'_A = 0$.

The following is a well-known fact [**K**] :

PROPOSITION 2.12. *The abelian connection with meridinal holonomy given by* (56) *is isolated iff* $e^{4i\pi\alpha}$ *is not a root of the Alexander polynomial of* K.

In general, a non-abelian flat connection will be isolated, and thus acyclic, if it lies on a smooth arc of the character variety of K, which is transverse to the line fixing the meridinal holonomy to be as in (56) in the pillowcase.

When flat connections are not isolated, the usual technique, found for instance in [**T1**] or [**He1**], consists of altering the Chern–Simons functional by so–called "holonomy perturbations". For a generic such perturbation, the critical points of the perturbed Chern–Simons functional are isolated. Theorem 2.11 shows that the technique of holonomy perturbations would also work to isolate singular flat connections. The proof presented in [**T1**] applies almost without change. Moreover, it can be assumed that the loops supporting the holonomy perturbations are homologically trivial in the knot complement $M\backslash K$. The picture emerging for the moduli space of perturbed flat connections is completely similar to the one studied in [**He1**] for manifolds with boundary. The manifold with boundary to consider here is of course the homology sphere M with a small open tubular neighborhood of the knot K removed.

CHAPTER 3

Singular connections on manifolds with cylindrical ends.

This chapter studies moduli spaces of singular ASD connections on manifolds with cylindrical ends. These can be seen as the spaces of flow lines for the gradient flow of the Chern–Simons functional and they determine the boundary operator in Floer homology. We are not going as far as defining a Floer homology in this thesis, but this chapter can be seen as a step in that direction. The first section establishes the basic definitions. As usual in Floer homology, one needs to work with Sobolev spaces which are exponentially weighted in the cylindrical direction. This is in fact necessary to the analytical treatment of the case of the abelian connection. The second section studies the basic operators in the translation–invariant case, which serves as a local model for the analytical theory on the ends. The techniques used are reminiscent of [**LM**]. The operators are first studied in the mixed metric and then, the conformal structure for the off–diagonal component is changed to the round metric thanks to the trick from [**DS**]. The third section presents the global theory. One then gets smooth moduli spaces of singular ASD connections, whose dimensions are given by the Fredholm indices of some differential operators. The last section says a few words on how those indices change under gluing or when a gauge transformation is applied. Those formulae show that, at least as far as dimensions are concerned, the picture is similar to the usual Floer homology.

1. Set-up.

1.1. The background connection. Let W be a Riemannian manifold with n cylindrical ends modeled on $M_j \times \mathbb{R}^+$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, where M_j is a homology 3-sphere. Assume that the metric on W is a product metric on each end. Let $\Sigma \subset W$ be a surface, also with cylindrical ends, where we assume that the ends of Σ are given by $\Sigma \cap (M_j \times \mathbb{R}^+) = K_j \times \mathbb{R}^+$, where K_j is a knot in M_j. Let N be a tubular neighborhhod of Σ such that $N \cap (M_j \times \mathbb{R}^+) = N_j \times \mathbb{R}^+$, where N_j is a tubular neighborhood of K_j in M_j. Let $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. For the following, we will assume that for every knot K_j, the flat connections in $\mathcal{F}^\alpha(M_j, K_j)$ are isolated. This means that $e^{4\pi i\alpha}$ is not a root of the Alexander polynomial of K_j and that the non-abelian flat connections are acyclic. The

torus knots are examples of knots where the flat connections in $\mathcal{F}^\alpha$ are isolated when $e^{4\pi i\alpha}$ is not a root of the Alexander polynomial [**K**].

Let E be an $SU(2)$–bundle on W, and fix trivializations of $E|_{M_j\times\mathbb{R}^+} \cong (M_j \times \mathbb{R}^+) \times \mathbb{C}^2$ on the ends of W. Similarly, pick a splitting $E = L \oplus L^{-1}$ on N and fix trivializations $L|_{N_j\times\mathbb{R}^+} \cong (N_j \times \mathbb{R}^+) \times \mathbb{C}$. Consider (isolated) flat connections $A_j \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha(M_j, K_j)$ in nice form for (M_j, K_j). Choose now an angular 1-form η around Σ on $W\backslash\Sigma$ which agrees with the connection matrix of A_j on $M_j\backslash K_j$. Pick a background connection A^α on E as in (2), arranging on each end that $A^\alpha|_{M_j\times\mathbb{R}^+} = A_j$. Following the terminology of [**DFK**], we call such a pair of bundles $(E, L) \to (W, \Sigma)$ with a fixed background connection A^α which is flat on the ends an *adapted bundle pair*.

1.2. Weighted spaces. Let $t_j \in (0, \infty)$ be the $\mathbb{R}^+$–coordinate on $M_j\times\mathbb{R}^+$ and let $w_\delta : W \to \mathbb{R}, \delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, be smooth positive functions such that

$$w_\delta(x, t_j) = \exp(\delta_j t_j), \qquad (x, t_j) \in M_j \times \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Define $\tilde{L}^p_{k,\delta}(\Omega^m(W\backslash\Sigma; \mathfrak{g}_E))$ to be the space of distributional sections u of $\Lambda^m(W\backslash\Sigma; \mathfrak{g}_E)$ such that $w_\delta u$ is of class L^p_k and the off-diagonal component of $w_\delta u$ near Σ is in $W^p_k(N\backslash\Sigma)$. We may drop the subscript δ when $\delta = 0$.

In the following definitions, we assume that $\delta_j \geq 0$, with strict inequality if A_j is abelian. We define the space of singular connections in the usual way :

$$\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p}_\delta(W, \Sigma)(A_1, \ldots, A_n) = A^\alpha + \tilde{L}^p_{1,\delta}(\Omega^1(W\backslash\Sigma; \mathfrak{g}_E)). \tag{67}$$

The *small gauge group* is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^p_\delta(W, \Sigma) = \{g \in \mathrm{Aut}(E) \mid g - 1 \in \tilde{L}^p_{2,\delta}(W\backslash\Sigma, \mathrm{End}\, E)\}, \tag{68}$$

and we have the corresponding quotient

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{\alpha,p}_\delta(W, \Sigma)(A_1, \ldots, A_n) = \mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p}_\delta/\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^p_\delta. \tag{69}$$

The corresponding space of anti-self-dual connections is denoted

$$\tilde{M}^{\alpha,p}_\delta(W, \Sigma)(A_1, \ldots, A_n) = \{\, [A] \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{\alpha,p}_\delta(W, \Sigma) \mid F^+_A = 0 \,\}. \tag{70}$$

The Lie algebra of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^p_\delta$ is $\tilde{L}^p_{2,\delta}(\Omega^2(W\setminus\Sigma; \mathfrak{g}_E))$. As usual, a $*$-superscript will mean that we are talking only about the subspaces of irreducible connections.

In fact, $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^p_\delta$ is not the whole gauge group acting on $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p}_\delta$. Instead, as usual in Floer theory [**F1**] [**DFK**], one should consider the group

$$\mathcal{G}^p_\delta(W, \Sigma)(A_1, \ldots, A_n) = \{g \in \mathrm{Aut}(E) \mid \nabla_{A^\alpha} g \in \tilde{L}^p_{1,\delta}(W\backslash\Sigma, \mathrm{End}\, E)\} \tag{71}$$

In fact, $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^p_\delta$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{G}^p_\delta$. Let Γ_i be the isotropy subgroup of the flat connection A_i, that is $\Gamma_i = \{\pm 1\}$ is A_i is irreducible and $\Gamma_i = S^1$ if A_i is

abelian. Then,

$$\mathcal{G}^p_\delta/\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^p_\delta \cong \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n. \tag{72}$$

We can form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^{\alpha,p}_\delta(W,\Sigma)(A_1,\dots,A_n) &= \mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p}_\delta/\mathcal{G}^p_\delta \\ &= \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{\alpha,p}_\delta/\,\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M^{\alpha,p}_\delta(W,\Sigma)(A_1,\dots,A_n) &= \{\,[A] \in \mathcal{B}^{\alpha,p}_\delta(W,\Sigma) \mid F^+_A = 0\,\} \\ &= \tilde{M}^{\alpha,p}_\delta/\,\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n\,. \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

2. The translation-invariant case.

Let $(W,\Sigma) = (M,K)\times\mathbb{R}$, $\pi : W \to M$ be the projection and let t be the axial coordinate. Consider an isolated flat connection $A \in \mathcal{F}^{\alpha,p}(M,K)$ in nice form and pull it back to (W,Σ). This is going to serve as our model for the ends. In what follows, we assume that a sharp enough cone-angle has been chosen for the off-diagonal component of the mixed metric, so that proposition 1.15 holds for a large range of Sobolev differentiability (determined by the integer ℓ_0 in proposition 1.15).

2.1. The Laplacians. Let $\tilde{\Delta}^M_{A,k}$ and $\tilde{\Delta}^W_{A,k}$ be the twisted Laplacians on k-forms formed with the mixed inner product on M and W respectively. Considering separately the M– and $\mathbb{R}$– components, the bundles of forms on W split naturally as

$$\Lambda^k(W) \cong \pi^*\Lambda^k(M) \oplus \pi^*\Lambda^{k-1}(M). \tag{75}$$

In those splittings,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}^W_{A,0} &= \tilde{\Delta}^M_{A,0} - \partial_t^2, \\ \tilde{\Delta}^W_{A,k} &= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}^M_{A,k} - \partial_t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\Delta}^M_{A,k-1} - \partial_t^2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad 1 \le k \le 3, \\ \tilde{\Delta}^W_{A,4} &= \tilde{\Delta}^M_{\alpha,3} - \partial_t^2, \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

where we have identified $\tilde{\Delta}^M_{A,k}$ with its lifting to W. When A is acyclic, the operators $\tilde{\Delta}^M_{A,k}$ are invertible on L^2 and their spectra are positive. Strictly speaking, acyclicity means only the invertibility of $\tilde{\Delta}^M_{A,0}$ and $\tilde{\Delta}^M_{A,1}$, but $\tilde{\Delta}^M_{A,k} = \pm\tilde{*}\tilde{\Delta}^M_{A,3-k}\tilde{*}$.

Proposition 3.1. *If A is acyclic, the Laplacians $\tilde{\Delta}^W_{A,k} : \tilde{L}^p_\ell \to \tilde{L}^p_{\ell-2}$ are invertible for $-\ell_0 + 2 \le \ell \le \ell_0$.*

PROOF. The technique used here is similar to the one in [**LM**]. We will give the proof only for $\tilde{\Delta}^W_{A,0}$, the other cases being entirely similar. Conjugate $\tilde{\Delta}^W_{A,0}$ by the Fourier transform in the axial direction. If τ is the variable dual to t, one gets

$$\hat{\Delta}_0(\tau) = \tilde{\Delta}^M_{A,0} + \tau^2, \tag{77}$$

The operator $\hat{\Delta}_0(\tau)$ is invertible on $\tilde{L}^2(M\setminus K)$ for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, and the operator norm of $L(\tau) = (\hat{\Delta}_0(\tau))^{-1} : \tilde{L}^2 \to \tilde{L}^2$ is uniformly bounded in τ (by the inverse of the first eigenvalue of $\tilde{\Delta}^M_{A,0}$). Therefore, $\tilde{\Delta}^W_{A,0} : \tilde{L}^2 \to \tilde{L}^2$ is invertible, with inverse given by

$$(G\,f)(t) = (\tilde{\Delta}^W_{\alpha,k})^{-1}(f)(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} L(\tau)\hat{f}(\tau)e^{it\tau}\,d\tau \tag{78}$$

The family $L(\tau) = (\hat{\Delta}_0(\tau))^{-1}, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$, is an operator-valued Fourier multiplier. By a theorem of Mikhlin–Stein [**Taylor**], it maps $L^p(\mathbb{R}, \tilde{L}^2(M))$ into itself provided

$$\|\partial_\tau L(\tau)\| \leq C\,(1+|\tau|)^{-1}. \tag{79}$$

But $\partial_\tau L(\tau) = -2\tau L(\tau)^2$, and (79) is therefore obviously satisfied. Let $p \geq 2$. From what precedes, if $f \in \tilde{L}^p(W\setminus\Sigma) \subseteq L^p(\mathbb{R}, \tilde{L}^2(M))$ and $u = Gf$, then $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}, \tilde{L}^2(M\setminus K))$.

Consider the strips $B_n = [n-1, n+1]\times M$ and $B_n^+ = (n-2, n+2)\times M, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By interior elliptic regularity (proposition A.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \|u|_{B_n}\|^p_{\tilde{L}^p_2} &\leq C\big(\|f|_{B_n^+}\|^p_{\tilde{L}^p} + \|u|_{B_n^+}\|^p_{\tilde{L}^2}\big) \\ &\leq C\big(\|f|_{B_n^+}\|^p_{\tilde{L}^p} + \|u|_{B_n^+}\|^p_{L^p((n-2,n+2),\tilde{L}^2(M\setminus K))}\big), \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

since $L^p((n-2, n+2), \tilde{L}^2(M\setminus K)) \subset \tilde{L}^2(B_n^+)$. Summing (80) over all the integers $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|^p_{\tilde{L}^p_2(W\setminus\Sigma)} &\leq C\big(\|f\|^p_{\tilde{L}^p(W\setminus\Sigma)} + \|u\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R},\tilde{L}^2(M\setminus K))}\big) \\ &\leq C\big(\|f\|^p_{\tilde{L}^p(W\setminus\Sigma)} + \|f\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R},\tilde{L}^2(M\setminus K))}\big) \\ &\leq C\|f\|^p_{\tilde{L}^p(W\setminus\Sigma)}. \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

Therefore, $\tilde{\Delta}^W_{A,0} : \tilde{L}^p_2 \to \tilde{L}^p$ is an isomorphism for $p \geq 2$. For $p < 2$ and other orders of differentiability, use elliptic regularity, duality and interpolation. □

Let $\tilde{L}^p_{k,\delta}(W) = e^{-t\delta}\tilde{L}^p_k(W)$. The adjoint of d_A in the weighted mixed inner product of $\tilde{L}^2_\delta$ is given by

$$d^{\tilde{*}_\delta}_A = e^{-2\delta t} d^*_A e^{2\delta t}. \tag{82}$$

We also need a slice theorem in the weighted case. As usual, it will be obtained from the Laplacian. We now investigate the weighted Laplacians, given by

$$\tilde{\Delta}^W_{A,k,\delta} = d_A^{\tilde{*}\delta} d_A + d_A d_A^{\tilde{*}\delta} : \tilde{L}^p_{\ell,\delta}(\Omega^k(W\backslash\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E)) \to \tilde{L}^p_{\ell-2,\delta}(\Omega^k(W\backslash\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E)). \tag{83}$$

The operator $\tilde{\Delta}^W_{A,k,\delta}$ is similar to the following operator $L_{A,k,\delta}$ acting on unweighted spaces:

$$L_{A,k,\delta} = e^{\delta t}\,\tilde{\Delta}^W_{A,k,\delta}\,e^{-\delta t} : \tilde{L}^p_\ell \to \tilde{L}^p_{\ell-2}. \tag{84}$$

It is easily computed that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{A,k,\delta} &= \tilde{\Delta}^W_{A,k} + \delta^2 \\ &= \begin{cases} (\tilde{\Delta}^M_{A,0} + \delta^2) - \partial_t^2 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}^M_{A,k} + \delta^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\Delta}^M_{A,k-1} + \delta^2 \end{pmatrix} - \partial_t^2 & \text{if } 1 \le k \le 3, \\ (\tilde{\Delta}^M_{A,3} + \delta^2) - \partial_t^2 & \text{if } k = 4. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

Notice that the normal operator for the off-diagonal component of $r^2(\tilde{\Delta}^M_{A,k}+\delta^2)$ is the same as for $r^2\tilde{\Delta}^M_{A,k}$. Therefore, all the previous analysis applies to $\tilde{\Delta}^M_{A,k} + \delta^2$, including elliptic regularity.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *If A is irreducible, the operators* (83) *are invertible for all δ. If A is abelian, the operators* (83) *are invertible for all $\delta \neq 0$, and also for $\delta = 0$ if $k = 2$.*

PROOF. We simply need to show that (84) is invertible. To do this proceeds as in the proof of proposition 3.1, using (85). Note that the first terms of the RHS of (85) are always positive, since the operators $\tilde{\Delta}^M_{A,k}$ are positive if A is irreducible or $k = 2$, and $\delta \neq 0$ if A is abelian and $k \neq 2$. □

2.2. The ASD operator. We will follow closely the technique of changing the conformal structure from [**DS**] to study the ASD operator in the round metric. We first assume that the connection A is irreducible. The case of an abelian connection will have to be treated separately.

Let $\Omega^{\tilde{+}}(\mathfrak{g}_E)$ be the space of $\mathfrak{g}_E$–valued 2–forms whose diagonal component is self-dual in the round metric and whose off-diagonal component is self-dual in the cone-angle metric. Define the operator

$$d_A^{\tilde{+}} = \tfrac{1+\tilde{*}}{2} d_A : \Omega^1(\mathfrak{g}_E) \to \Omega^{\tilde{+}}(\mathfrak{g}_E)$$

as the linearization of the ASD operator in the mixed metric. Similarly, define $\Omega^{\tilde{-}}$ and $d_A^{\tilde{-}}$. Proposition 3.1 implies that the operator

$$d_A^{\tilde{*}} + d_A^{\tilde{+}} : \tilde{L}^p_1(\Omega^1(W\backslash\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E)) \to \tilde{L}^p(\Omega^0(W\backslash\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E)) \oplus \tilde{L}^p(\Omega^{\tilde{+}}(W\backslash\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E)) \tag{86}$$

is invertible. Indeed, composing on the left and on the right with $d_A + d_A^{\tilde{*}}$ yields the invertible Laplacians. The operator (86) comes from rolling up the deformation complex for the ASD equation in the mixed metric. But what we would like to show is that the operator

(87)
$$D_A = d_A^{\tilde{*}} + d_A^+ : \tilde{L}_1^p(\Omega^1(W\backslash\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E)) \to \tilde{L}^p(\Omega^0(W\backslash\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E)) \oplus \tilde{L}^p(\Omega^+(W\backslash\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E))$$

is invertible (we are now working with the rolled-up version of the ASD deformation complex in the round metric for all the components of $\mathfrak{g}_E$).

First, let $T_A = \ker d_A^{\tilde{*}} : \tilde{L}_1^p(\Omega^1) \to \tilde{L}^p$. Then, $d_A^{\tilde{+}} : T_A \to \tilde{L}^p(\Omega^{\tilde{+}})$ is an isomorphism. Let $Q = (d_A^{\tilde{+}})^{-1} : \tilde{L}^p(\Omega^{\tilde{+}}) \to T_A$ and let $S = d_A^{\tilde{-}} Q$.

LEMMA 3.3. *The operator $S : \tilde{L}^2(\Omega^{\tilde{+}}) \to \tilde{L}^2(\Omega^{\tilde{-}})$ is an isometry.*

PROOF. By density, it is enough to show that for $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega^1(W;\mathfrak{g}_E))$, with the off–diagonal component of u supported away from Σ, we have $\|d_A^{\tilde{+}}u\|_{\tilde{L}^2} = \|d_A^{\tilde{-}}u\|_{\tilde{L}^2}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \|d_A^{\tilde{+}}u\|_{\tilde{L}^2}^2 - \|d_A^{\tilde{-}}u\|_{\tilde{L}^2}^2 &= \int_{W\backslash\Sigma} \mathrm{tr}\,(d_A^{\tilde{+}}u \wedge \tilde{*}d_A^{\tilde{+}}u - d_A^{\tilde{-}}u \wedge \tilde{*}d_A^{\tilde{-}}u) \\ &= \int_{W\backslash\Sigma} \mathrm{tr}\,(d_A u \wedge d_A u) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

by integration by parts, since A is flat. □

LEMMA 3.4. *The operator norm of $S : \tilde{L}^p(\Omega^{\tilde{+}}) \to \tilde{L}^p(\Omega^{\tilde{-}})$ tends to 1 as $p \to 2$.*

PROOF. Combine lemma 3.3 with the Marzinkiewicz interpolation theorem [**Taylor**]. □

Recall from section 1.2 that the change of conformal structure from the cone-angle to the round metric is encoded in a map $\mu : \Omega^{\tilde{-}} \to \Omega^{\tilde{+}}$. Let $\tilde{\mu} : \Omega^{\tilde{-}}(\mathfrak{g}_E) \to \Omega^{\tilde{+}}(\mathfrak{g}_E)$ be the map which is zero for the diagonal component of the $\mathfrak{g}_E$ and equal to μ on the off-diagonal component of $\mathfrak{g}_E$. Then, the operator $d_A^+ : T_A \to \Omega^+$ is equivalent to

$$d_A^{\tilde{+}} - \tilde{\mu} d_A^{\tilde{-}} : T_A \to \Omega^{\tilde{+}}. \tag{89}$$

PROPOSITION 3.5. *The operator* (87) *is invertible for p close enough to* 2.

PROOF. It is enough to show that (89) is invertible. Notice that $(1-\tilde{\mu}S) : \tilde{L}^p \to \tilde{L}^p$ is invertible for p close enough to 2, by lemma 3.4 and the estimate (10) on μ. But an inverse for (89) is given by $Q(1-\tilde{\mu}S)^{-1}$ (see [**KM**]) and we are done. □

Consider now the wrapped-up operator with the weighted adjoint of d_A

(90)
$$D_A^\delta = d_A^{\check{*}\delta} + d_A^+ : \tilde{L}^p_{1,\delta}(\Omega^1(W\backslash\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E)) \to \tilde{L}^p_{0,\delta}(\Omega^0(W\backslash\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E)) \oplus \tilde{L}^p_{0,\delta}(\Omega^+(W\backslash\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E))$$

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let A be an acyclic flat connection. Then, for $|\delta|$ small enough and p close enough to 2, the operator D_A^δ defined by* (90) *is invertible.*

PROOF. Since the set of invertible operators is open, this follows from proposition 3.5. □

We now assume that the connection A is abelian. In that case the problem splits globally:

(91)
$$D_A^\delta = d_A^{\check{*}\delta} + d_A^+ = \begin{cases} d^{*\delta} + d^+ & \text{on the diagonal component of } \mathfrak{g}_E, \\ d_{2\alpha}^{\check{*}\delta} + d_{2\alpha}^+ & \text{on the off-diagonal component of } \mathfrak{g}_E, \end{cases}$$

where $d_{2\alpha}$ is the exterior covariant derivative associated to the abelian connection with meridinal holonomy equal to $e^{-4i\pi\alpha}$.

LEMMA 3.7. *The operator*
$$d^{*\delta} + d^+ : L^p_{1,\delta}(W) \to L^p_\delta(W)$$
is invertible for $|\delta| \neq 0$ and small enough.

PROOF. This follows from the standard techniques of [**LM**], see [**F1**]. □

LEMMA 3.8. *The operator*
$$d_{2\alpha}^{\check{*}\delta} + d_{2\alpha}^+ : W^p_{1,\delta}(W\backslash\Sigma) \to L^p_\delta(W\backslash\Sigma)$$
is invertible for $|\delta|$ small enough and p close enough to 2.

PROOF. Proceeding as in the proof of proposition 3.1, we can show that $\check{\Delta}^W_{2\alpha,k}$ (the off-diagonal component of $\tilde{\Delta}^W_{2\alpha,k}$) is invertible. Indeed, $\check{\Delta}^M_{2\alpha,k}$ is invertible, and thus positive, because identifications similar to the ones obtained in the proof of proposition 2.10 hold. Therefore,
$$d_{2\alpha}^{\check{*}} + d_{2\alpha}^{\check{+}} : W^p_1(W\backslash\Sigma) \to L^p(W\backslash\Sigma)$$

is invertible. Mimicking the proofs of lemmata 3.3 , 3.4 and proposition 3.5, shows that

$$d^{\tilde{*}}_{2\alpha} + d^{+}_{2\alpha} : W^p_1(W\backslash\Sigma) \to L^p(W\backslash\Sigma)$$

is invertible. The lemma follows by the perturbation argument of proposition 3.6. □

Combining lemmata 3.7 and 3.8, we get

PROPOSITION 3.9. *Let A be the abelian connection. For $|\delta| \neq 0$ small enough and p close enough to 2, the operator*

$$D^{\delta}_A = d^{\tilde{*}\delta}_A + d^{+}_A : \tilde{L}^p_{1,\delta}(W\backslash\Sigma) \to \tilde{L}^p_{0,\delta}(W\backslash\Sigma) \tag{92}$$

is invertible.

3. Global theory.

We are now going back to the general set-up described in section 1.

3.1. The slice theorem. Let

$$d^{\tilde{*}\delta}_{A^\alpha} = w^2_\delta d^{\tilde{*}}_{A^\alpha} w^{-2}_\delta : \Omega^1(W\backslash\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E) \to \Omega^0(W\backslash\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E). \tag{93}$$

be the weighted adjoint of d_{A^α} in the mixed inner product and let

$$\Delta^W_{A^\alpha,0,\delta} = d^{\tilde{*}\delta}_{A^\alpha} d_{A^\alpha} \tag{94}$$

be the corresponding Laplacian on sections of $\mathfrak{g}_E$.

PROPOSITION 3.10. *Assume $\delta_j \neq 0$ if A_j is abelian. Then, the operator*

$$\Delta^W_{A^\alpha,0,\delta} : \tilde{L}^p_{2,\delta}(W\backslash\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E) \to \tilde{L}^p_{0,\delta}(W\backslash\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E)$$

is Fredholm and self-adjoint.

PROOF. The proof is the same as before, gluing local parametrices on the compact part of W and on the cylindrical ends. Parametrices on the compact part can be obtained as in chapter 2 because the normal operator for the off-diagonal component of $r^2\Delta^W_{A^\alpha,0,\delta}$ is the same as in the unweighted case. Local inverses on the ends were obtained in proposition 3.2. Self-adjointness follows from elliptic regularity. □

Let us now consider a general singular connection $A = A^\alpha + a \in \mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p}_\delta$. Let

$$\tilde{\Delta}_A : \tilde{L}^p_{2,\delta} \to \tilde{L}^p_{0,\delta} \tag{95}$$

be the Laplacian on sections associated to A in the mixed metric.

PROPOSITION 3.11. *Assume that $|\delta|$ is small enough, with $\delta_j \neq 0$ if A_j is abelian. Then, for p close enough to 2, the operator* (95)*is Fredholm and self-adjoint.*

PROOF. Starting from proposition 3.10, the standard perturbation argument of [**T1**] based on the the openness of the space of Fredholm operators can be applied. □

The proof of the following goes as before.

COROLLARY 3.12. *With δ as in proposition 3.10, the space $(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{\alpha,p}_{\delta})^*$ is a smooth Hausdorff Banach manifold. A slice for the action of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{p}_{\delta}$ at $A \in \mathcal{A}^{\alpha,p}_{\delta}$ is given by $\ker d^{\tilde{*}_\delta}_A$.*

Combined with (73), we get

COROLLARY 3.13. *With δ as in proposition 3.10, the space $(\mathcal{B}^{\alpha,p}_{\delta})^*$ is a smooth Hausdorff Banach manifold.*

3.2. The deformation complex and the moduli spaces of ASD connections. As usual the deformation complex for $\tilde{M}^{\alpha,p}$ is

(96)

$$0 \to \tilde{L}^p_{2,\delta}(W\backslash\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E) \xrightarrow{d_A} \tilde{L}^p_{1,\delta}(\Omega^1(W\backslash\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E)) \xrightarrow{d^+_A} \tilde{L}^p_{0,\delta}(\Omega^+(W\backslash\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E)) \to 0.$$

In view of corollary 3.12, the deformation complex can be wrapped up into the operator

$$D^\delta_A = d^{\tilde{*}_\delta}_A + d^+_A : \tilde{L}^p_{1,\delta} \to \tilde{L}^p_{0,\delta} \tag{97}$$

We first examine the operator (97) for the background connection A^α.

PROPOSITION 3.14. *For p close enough to 2, $|\delta_j|$ small enough and $\delta_j \neq 0$ if A_j is abelian, the operator*

$$D^\delta_{A^\alpha} = d^{\tilde{*}_\delta}_{A^\alpha} + d^+_{A^\alpha} : \tilde{L}^p_{1,\delta}(W\backslash\Sigma) \to \tilde{L}^p_{0,\delta}(W\backslash\Sigma) \tag{98}$$

is Fredholm.

PROOF. In the usual way, one can glue local parametrices for (98) obtained on the compact part of W by proposition 1.10 and on the cylindrical ends by propositions 3.6 and 3.9 to get a right parametrix for $D^\delta_{A^\alpha}$. This shows that $D^\delta_{A^\alpha}$ has a closed range of finite codimension. A right parametrix for the adjoint of (98) can be obtained by duality from the local parametrices. It follows that $\ker D^\delta_{A^\alpha}$ is finite-dimensional. □

The general case follows as in the proof of proposition 3.11.

PROPOSITION 3.15. *For p and δ as in proposition 3.14, the operator* (97) *is Fredholm.*

We now have all the ingredients to analyze the moduli spaces of singular ASD connections on (W, Σ).

PROPOSITION 3.16. *Let U be a precompact open set of $W\setminus\Sigma$. Then, for a generic metric perturbation on U, the moduli space $(\tilde{M}^{\alpha,p}_{\delta})^*(W,\Sigma)$ is a smooth finite dimensional manifold, whose dimension is given by the index of $D^{\delta}_{A^\alpha}$.*

Proposition 3.18 will show that the index of $D^{\delta}_{A^\alpha}$ doesn't depend on p or δ, when p is close enough to 2 and the $\delta_j \geq 0$ are small enough, with strict inequality if A_j is abelian.

PROOF. The only point worth commenting on is the smoothness of the moduli space. By duality,

$$\operatorname{coker} d^+_A = \{\omega \in \tilde{L}^q_{0,-\delta}(\Omega^+) \mid d_A\omega = d^*_A\omega = 0\},$$

which shows that the elements in the cokernel of d^+_A are harmonic forms ω. So, by Aronszajn's theorem, ω vanish on an open set, unless $\omega \equiv 0$. Therefore, the usual line of argument from [**FU**] or [**DK**] carries through. □

Combining proposition 3.16 with (74), we get

THEOREM 3.17. *Let U be a precompact open set of $W\setminus\Sigma$. Then, for a generic metric perturbation on U, the moduli space $(M^{\alpha,p}_{\delta})^*(W,\Sigma)$ of irreducible singular ASD connections is a smooth finite dimensional manifold, whose dimension is given by the index of $D^{\delta}_{A^\alpha}$, decreased by the number of abelian limiting connections.*

4. Some index formulae.

In this section, we first prove the invariance of the index of $D^{\delta}_{A^\alpha}$ in p and δ, and thus that the moduli spaces of ASD connections have a well-defined dimension. After that, we show how the index changes when two ends are glued together, or when one applies a gauge transformation to a limiting connection on some end. Those results lead to defining for singular connections an analogue to Floer's grading function. This grading function exhibits a periodicity of 4, instead of 8 in the non–singular case [**F1**].

4.1. Invariance of the index. The first point that we would like to address is the invariance of the index of $D^{\delta}_{A^\alpha} = d^{\tilde{*}_\delta}_{A^\alpha} + d^+_{A^\alpha}$.

PROPOSITION 3.18. *For p close enough to 2 and $|\delta|$ small enough, with $\delta_j \neq 0$ if A_j is abelian, the index of*

(99)

$$D^{\delta}_{A^\alpha} = d^{\tilde{*}_\delta}_{A^\alpha} + d^+_{A^\alpha} : \tilde{L}^p_{1,\delta}(\Omega^1(W\setminus\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E)) \to \tilde{L}^p(\Omega^0(W\setminus\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E)) \oplus \tilde{L}^p(\Omega^+(W\setminus\Sigma;\mathfrak{g}_E))$$

is independent of p. It is also independent of δ unless some limiting connection A_j is abelian and the sign of δ_j changes.

We will determine later how the index changes when the weight corresponding to an abelian connection changes sign.

PROOF. In fact, $d^{\tilde{*}_\delta}_{A^\alpha} + d^+_{A^\alpha}$ is homotopic to $d^{\tilde{*}}_{A^\alpha} + d^{\tilde{+}}_{A^\alpha}$ through Fredholm operators by progressively changing the metric from the cone-angle $\frac{\alpha}{\kappa}$ to the round metric on the off-diagonal component of $\mathfrak{g}_E$. This is similar to an argument of [**KM**]. Therefore, we simply need to study the index of $d^{\tilde{*}_\delta}_{A^\alpha} + d^{\tilde{+}}_{A^\alpha}$. By continuity, we can assume that $\delta_j = 0$ if A_j is irreducible.

Composing $d^{\tilde{*}}_{A^\alpha} + d^{\tilde{+}}_{A^\alpha}$ with its adjoint on the left, we have that $\ker(d^{\tilde{*}}_{A^\alpha} + d^{\tilde{+}}_{A^\alpha}) \subseteq \ker \tilde{\Delta}_{A^\alpha,1}$. Let $p' \neq p$. Elliptic regularity shows that if $u \in \ker \tilde{\Delta}_{A,1} \cap \tilde{L}^p_1(W \setminus \Sigma)$, then u is in $\tilde{L}^{p'}_1$ on the compact part of W and on all the strips $B_n = [n-1, n+1] \times M_j, n = 1, 2, \ldots$ On each end $M_j \times \mathbb{R}^+$, $\tilde{\Delta}_{A^\alpha,1}$ is given by (76), whence an eigenvalue decomposition shows that u decays exponentially. Therefore $u \in \tilde{L}^{p'}_1(W \setminus \Sigma)$.

By duality the cokernel of $d^{\tilde{*}}_{A^\alpha} + d^{\tilde{+}}_{A^\alpha}$ is the kernel of

(100)
$$d_{A^\alpha} + d^{\tilde{*}}_{A^\alpha} : \tilde{L}^q(\Omega^0(W \setminus \Sigma; \mathfrak{g}_E)) \oplus \tilde{L}^q(\Omega^{\tilde{+}}(W \setminus \Sigma; \mathfrak{g}_E)) \to \tilde{L}^q_{-1}(\Omega^1(W \setminus \Sigma; \mathfrak{g}_E)).$$

Composing (100) with its adjoint on the left, the cokernel is in the kernel of

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}_{A^\alpha,0} & (F^{\tilde{+}}_{A^\alpha})^{\tilde{*}} \\ F^{\tilde{+}}_{A^\alpha} & \tilde{\Delta}_{A^\alpha,2} \end{pmatrix} : \tilde{L}^q(\Omega^0(W \setminus \Sigma; \mathfrak{g}_E)) \oplus \tilde{L}^q(\Omega^{\tilde{+}}(W \setminus \Sigma; \mathfrak{g}_E)) \longrightarrow \tilde{L}^q_{-2}(\Omega^0(W \setminus \Sigma; \mathfrak{g}_E)) \oplus \tilde{L}^q_{-2}(\Omega^{\tilde{+}}(W \setminus \Sigma; \mathfrak{g}_E)). \tag{101}$$

The off-diagonal operators of (101) are smooth zeroth order multiplication operators, by construction of A^α. Therefore, all the elliptic regularity arguments applied before carry through for the compact part of W and the strips B_n. Moreover, these off-diagonal components also vanish on the cylindrical ends since A^α is flat there. Hence, the exponential decay argument just described can also be applied. Therefore the cokernel of $d^{\tilde{*}}_{A^\alpha} + d^+_{A^\alpha}$ is also independent of p.

When A_j is abelian, (99) splits as in (91). The off-diagonal component can be homotoped until the weight corresponds to $\delta = 0$ and we can reason as above. For the diagonal component, we can use for instance the standard theory of [**LM**] to show that the kernel and cokernel don't depend on p and can jump only when $\delta = 0$. It is also possible to adapt the argument given above. □

In view of proposition 3.18, we can define the *index* of an adapted bundle pair to be the index of $D^\delta_{A^\alpha}$ when all the weights δ_j are small positive numbers.

4.2. Index additivity. We are now studying the problem of gluing cylindrical ends. Let $(E, L) \to (W, \Sigma)$ be an adapted bundle with background connection A^α over a manifold with cylindrical ends which is not necessarily connected. Assume that W has an end modelled on $(M, K) \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and another end modelled on $(\bar{M}, \bar{K}) \times \mathbb{R}^+$, where $(\bar{M}, \bar{K})$ is diffeomorphic to (M, K), but has the opposite orientations. Let $T > 0$. We can then form a new manifold $(W_T^\sharp, \Sigma_T^\sharp)$ by cutting off the ends at $(M, K) \times \{T\}$ and $(\bar{M}, \bar{K}) \times \{T\}$ respectively and gluing $(M, K) \times \{T\}$ and $(\bar{M}, \bar{K}) \times \{T\}$ together. Assume that the flat connections on the two ends agree and denote that common limiting flat connection by A_M. We can then form a new adapted bundle pair $(E_T^\sharp, L_T^\sharp) \to (W_T^\sharp, \Sigma_T^\sharp)$, with background connection $(A_T^\alpha)^\sharp$. Let $(D_T^\delta)^\sharp$ be the operator (98) associated to $(A_T^\alpha)^\sharp$. The index of the bundle pairs $(E_T^\sharp, L_T^\sharp)$ and (E, L) are related as follows :

THEOREM 3.19. *If A_M is irreducible, then $ind(E, L) = ind(E_T^\sharp, L_T^\sharp)$. If A_M is abelian, then $ind(E_T^\sharp, L_T^\sharp) = ind\ (E, L) - 1$.*

PROOF. For the ease of exposition, identify $(\bar{M}, \bar{K}) \times \mathbb{R}^+$ with $(M, K) \times \mathbb{R}^-$. Let t be the axial coordinate. Let B_M be the operator

(102)
$$B_M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d^*_{A_M} \\ d_{A_M} & *d_{A_M} \end{pmatrix} : \Omega^0(M \backslash K) \oplus \Omega^1(M \backslash K) \to \Omega^0(M \backslash K) \oplus \Omega^1(M \backslash K)$$

Let us first examine the case when A_M is irreducible. In that case, we can assume that δ_M, the weight corresponding to the two ends in question, is zero. Then, making the usual identifications on both ends, $D^\delta_{A^\alpha}$ looks like

$$D^\delta_{A^\alpha} = \partial_t + B_M. \tag{103}$$

The proof is then perfectly standard [**DFK**]. We recall the main steps. First, after stabilization, we can assume that D_{A^α} is surjective. For T large enough, we can then construct an approximate right inverse to $D_T^\sharp$, showing that it is also surjective. Finally, using well-chosen cut-off functions and the approximate right inverse, it is possible to construct two approximately isometric injections $\ker D_T^\sharp \to \ker D_{A^\alpha}$ and $\ker D_{A^\alpha} \to \ker D_T^\sharp$.

This proof doesn't work when A_M is abelian, because we cannot take δ_M to be zero. Instead δ_M must be a small positive number. It follows that the identification (103) doesn't hold over the ends. Over one end,

$$D^\delta_{A^\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_M & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \partial_t + B_M, \tag{104}$$

while over the other end,

$$D^{\delta}_{A^\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} -\delta_M & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \partial_t + B_M. \tag{105}$$

So we need to introduce a bridge $(M, K) \times \mathbb{R}$ between the two ends and to compute the index of the operator

$$D^{-(\delta_M,\delta_M)}_{A_M} : \tilde{L}^p_{1,(\delta_M,\delta_M)} \to \tilde{L}^p_{0,(\delta_M,\delta_M)} \tag{106}$$

which agrees with (104) over $M \times (-\infty, -1)$ and with (105) over $M \times (1, \infty)$. As usual the operator (106) splits globally between on– and off–diagonal components, as in (91). The off-diagonal component can be homotoped until $\delta_M = 0$, showing that its index is zero. The theory of [**LM**] shows that the index of the diagonal part is -1. Hence, the index of the bridge operator is -1. We can then apply twice the proof sketched in the irreducible case to obtain the index formula. □

4.3. A change of gauge formula. From theorem 3.19, we can also deduce a change of gauge formula.

THEOREM 3.20. *Let (W, Σ) be a manifold with cylindrical ends, one of the ends being $(M, K) \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Let A^α be a background connection for an adapted bundle over (W, Σ), the limiting connection on (M, K) being denoted A_M. Let g be a gauge transformation in $\mathcal{G}(M, K)$. Lift g to $(M, K) \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and extend it to a gauge transformation $\tilde{g}$ on (W, Σ), arranging that $\tilde{g}$ be the identity on the other ends. Then $\tilde{g}^* A^\alpha$ is a new background connection, and*

$$ind\, D^{\delta}_{\tilde{g}^* A^\alpha} = ind\, D^{\delta}_{A^\alpha} + 8\, deg\, g - 4\, deg\, g|_K. \tag{107}$$

PROOF. In view of theorem 3.19, it is enough to prove the special case where $(W, \Sigma) = (M, K) \times \mathbb{R}$ and $A^\alpha = A_M$. To prove that case, we apply theorem 3.19 again, gluing the two ends of the cylinder to obtain a pair of compact manifolds diffeomorphic to $(M \times S^1, K \times S^1)$. The characteristic numbers of the bundle pair obtained by gluing with the gauge transformation g are given by

$$k = \deg g, \qquad \ell = -\deg g|_K.$$

Therefore, (107) follows from formula (7) giving the index of D_{A^α} in the compact case. □

4.4. The grading function. Let us go back to the special of the cylinder $(M, K) \times \mathbb{R}$. For A, B two isolated flat connections on (M, K), consider the adapted bundle pair (E, L) over $(M, K) \times \mathbb{R}$, with A as the limiting connection at $-\infty$ and B as the limiting connection at $+\infty$. Let $\tilde{\mu}(A, B)$ be the formal

dimension of the correponding moduli space of ASD singular connections, that is

$$\tilde{\mu}(A,B) = \mathrm{ind}(E,L) - \dim \Gamma_A - \dim \Gamma_B,$$

where Γ_A and Γ_B are the isotropy subgroups of A and B respectively. Theorem 3.19 can be rephrased as

$$\tilde{\mu}(A,C) = \tilde{\mu}(A,B) + \tilde{\mu}(B,C) + \dim \Gamma_B, \tag{108}$$

which has the same form as the well-known addition formula for the spectral flow in Floer homology. It follows from (108) that

$$\tilde{\mu}(A,A) = -\dim \Gamma_A. \tag{109}$$

If Θ is a representative of the abelian connection, we can define a grading function

$$\tilde{\mu}(A) = \tilde{\mu}(\Theta, A) + \dim \Gamma_A. \tag{110}$$

It follows from (109) and (108) that

$$\tilde{\mu}(\Theta) = 0$$

and

$$\tilde{\mu}(A,B) = \tilde{\mu}(B) - \tilde{\mu}(A) - \dim \Gamma_B.$$

To obtain the $\mathbb{Z}/4$ grading function, we just need to observe that theorem 3.20 can be rephrased as

$$\tilde{\mu}(g^*A) = \tilde{\mu}(A) + 8 \deg g - 4 \deg g|_K \tag{111}$$

if g is a gauge transfomation on (M,K). In view of (111), when dividing by the action of the gauge group, we obtain a $\mathbb{Z}/4$ grading function $\mu : \mathcal{F}^\alpha \to \mathbb{Z}/4$ by

$$\mu([A]) = \tilde{\mu}(A) \mathrm{mod}\, 4.$$

The previous discussion shows that this function displays the properties of a spectral flow.

Loose ends and speculations.

In this afterword, we discuss a number of points which should be addressed in order to complete the program of developing a Floer homology for singular connections. The main missing element is an analysis of the ends of the moduli spaces of singular ASD connections on the cylinder. Based on theorem 3.19, it is possible to prove a gluing theorem for suitable families of singular ASD connections, just as in the usual case [**DFK**]. It is also possible to prove that one obtains in that way local diffeomorphisms between the corresponding moduli spaces. However, there are a couple of technical obstacles to proving that the ends of the moduli spaces are as one would expect them to be. One of those obstacles is that we don't have uniform decay estimates for singular ASD connections on the cylinder. The problem comes indeed from the fact that we couldn't prove enough regularity for singular ASD connections. The other obstacle comes from the perturbations. In order to get the usual cusp–trajectory picture for Uhlenbeck compactness in Floer homology, one needs to have smooth moduli spaces for a translation–invariant equation on the cylinder. Perturbing the metric as in theorem 3.17 cannot always be done in a translation–invariant way. Instead, one needs to consider holonomy perturbations. The proof of smoothness of the moduli spaces of perturbed ASD connections relies on a gauge–theoretic version of Aronszajn's unique continuation theorem for the Cauchy problem, as proved in [**T3**]. We couldn't adapt the proof given there, mainly again because of the lack of regularity of the singular ASD connections. Another problem arising from perturbations is that we couldn't show the invertibility of the operator D_A defined in (87) in the translation–invariant case (the model case on the ends) if A is a perturbed flat connection. More precisely, the problem comes from lemma 3.3, which doesn't hold if A is not flat. Therefore, the conformal structure for the off–diagonal component cannot be changed back to the round metric. However, it is possible that the operator D_A could be analyzed by a generalization of edge theory to manifolds with corners, using a hyperbolic metric on the off–diagonal component as in [**R1**].

We should mention that in his thesis [**C**], Olivier Collin developed an instanton Floer homology for three–manifolds with an orbifold singularity along a knot. Our theory would likely be equivalent to his for a rational value of

the holonomy parameter α. The advantage of ours is that it would allow to interpolate between different rational values of α, possibly proving with a cobordism argument that the Floer homology is invariant in α as long as no square root of the Alexander polynomial is crossed. It seems likely that the Euler characteristc of the Floer homology in question would be Herald's Casson invariant for knots [**He2**]. Li [**Li**] has a symplectic Floer homology for knots correponding to rational values of α. We can formulate a conjecture à la Atiyah–Floer stating that Li's theory should be isomorphic to Collin's and/or ours.

We would like to conclude by suggesting a possible application of the theory to obtain bounds on the unknotting number of a knot. The unknotting number is the minimal number of crossing changes to apply to a planar projection of a knot in order to obtain the unknot. Crossing changes can be performes by a ± 1 surgery on a small trivial knot linking the crossing. Floer [**F2**] studied the effect of surgery on his homology and encoded it in an exact triangle (see [**BD**] for details). If his techniques can be adapted to singular connections, the singular Floer homology might provide information on the unknotting number.

APPENDIX A

Elliptic edge operators in a nutshell.

This appendix contains a summary of the theory of elliptic edge operators, as developed in [**Ma**]. It also corrects a few misprints from that paper, and contains a proof of the key fact (proposition A.3) necessary to extend the theory to L^p spaces for $p \neq 2$. The last section develops elliptic estimates for operators which become elliptic edge only after some alteration. It is this kind of operators which appear in the body of the thesis.

Let M be a Riemannian compact manifold with boundary ∂M. Assume that ∂M is the total space of a locally trivial fibration

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \longrightarrow & \partial M \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & B \end{array}$$

with fibre F and base space B. The algebra of edge differential operators is defined as the algebra of operators generated over $C^\infty(M)$ by the space $\mathcal{V}_e$ of smooth vector fields on M which are tangent to the fibres of ∂M. More concretely, let x be a defining function for ∂M, y be local coordinates on B lifted to ∂M and z be local coordinates along the fibers of ∂M. Extend y and z locally to the interior of M. Then a differential operator L of order m is *edge* if, locally near ∂M, it takes the form

$$L \quad = \sum_{j+|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq m} a_{j,\alpha,\beta}(x,y,z)(x\partial_x)^j(x\partial_y)^\alpha \partial_z^\beta,$$

where the $a_{j,\alpha,\beta}$'s are smooth functions, possibly matrix-valued when dealing with operators on sections of vector bundles. The operator L is said to be *elliptic* if its *edge principal symbol*

$${}^e\sigma_m(L)(x,y,z)(\xi,\eta,\zeta) \quad = \sum_{j+|\alpha|+|\beta|=m} a_{j,\alpha,\beta}(x,y,z)(i\xi)^j(i\eta)^\alpha(i\zeta)^\beta$$

is elliptic, i.e. ${}^e\sigma_m(L)(x,y,z)(\xi,\eta,\zeta)$ is invertible for $(\xi,\eta,\zeta) \neq 0$.

To an edge operator, we can associate a family of indicial operators, parametrized by $y \in B$, and defined over $F_y \times \mathbb{R}^+$. The family is given in local coordinates

by

$$I(L) \quad = \sum_{j+|\beta|\leq m} a_{j,0,\beta}(0,y,z)(s\partial_s)^j\partial_z^\beta, \tag{A.1}$$

where $s \in (0,+\infty)$ is the $\mathbb{R}^+$ coordinate. We can associate to the family of indicial operators, its indicial family (basically its conjugate by the Mellin transform in the s-direction), parametrized by $(\zeta, y) \in \mathbb{C} \times B$, and defined over F_y

$$I_\zeta(L) \quad = \sum_{j+|\beta|\leq m} a_{j,0,\beta}(0,y,z)\zeta^j\partial_z^\beta. \tag{A.2}$$

Notice that $I_\zeta(L)$ is elliptic on F_y if L is elliptic. The *boundary spectrum* of L is defined as

$$spec_b(L) = \{\, \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \mid I_\zeta(L) \text{ is not invertible on } L^2(F_y) \text{ for some } y \in B\}.$$

In the cases studied in this thesis, all the operators in the indicial family are similar; therefore, $spec_b(L)$ forms a discrete set in $\mathbb{C}$, with $\Re\zeta \to \infty$ if $\Im\zeta \to \infty$. We also define $\Re spec_b(L)$ to be the discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}$ obtained by the projection of $spec_b(L)$ on the real axis. From the theory in [**Me**], it follows that $I(L)$ is invertible on $s^\delta L^2(F_y \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ iff $\delta \notin \Re spec_b(L) + 1/2$.

There is another family of operators associated to an edge operator, the family of *normal operators* $N(L)$. It is parametrized by $y \in B$ and is defined over $F_y \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^k$, where $k = \dim B$.

$$N(L) \quad = \sum_{j+|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq m} a_{j,\alpha,\beta}(0,y,z)(s\partial_s)^j(s\partial_u)^\alpha\partial_z^\beta, \tag{A.3}$$

where s is the coordinate on $\mathbb{R}^+$, u denotes the coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^k$, and y is just the parameter in B. Again, in our cases, all the normal operators are similar. Using the homogeneity properties of $N(L)$ in (s,u), the invertibility properties of $N(L)$ follow from the invertibility properties of what is essentially its conjugation by the Fourier transform in the u variables,

$$\widehat{N(L)} \quad = \sum_{j+|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq m} a_{j,\alpha,\beta}(z)(s\partial_s)^j(is\hat{\eta})^\alpha\partial_z^\beta, \tag{A.4}$$

where $\hat{\eta}$ is a parameter in S^{k-1}, the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^k$. In our cases, $N(L)$ will be isotropic in $u \in \mathbb{R}^k$, so that it is enough to consider the case $\hat{\eta} = (1,0,\ldots,0)$, which yields a *Bessel-type* operator

$$L_0 \quad = \sum_{j+|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq m} a_{j,\alpha,\beta}(z)(t\partial_t)^j(it)^{\alpha_1}\partial_z^\beta. \tag{A.5}$$

The operator L_0 is Fredholm over $t^\delta L^2(F \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ if $\delta \notin \Re spec_b(L) + 1/2$. Moreover, its kernel and cokernel don't change unless δ crosses an element of

$\Re spec_b(L) + 1/2$. Therefore, there is a (possibly empty) maximal interval $(\underline{\delta}, \overline{\delta})$ so that L_0 is invertible on $t^\delta L^2(F \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ for $\delta \in (\underline{\delta}, \overline{\delta})$, $\delta \notin \Re spec_b(L) + \frac{1}{2}$. Note that $\underline{\delta}, \overline{\delta} \in \Re spec_b(L) + \frac{1}{2}$.

Before we talk about spaces of pseudodifferential operators on M, we need to introduce the notion of asymptotic development. Let E be a discrete subset of $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\Re z \to \infty$ if $\Im z \to \infty$, $z \in E$. We call E an *index set* and set

$$\inf E = \inf\{ \Re z\,,\ z \in E\}.$$

Let X be a manifold with boundary ∂X and ρ a defining function for ∂X. Then a function $u \in \mathcal{A}^E_{phg}(X)$ if it admits a polyhomogeneous expansion given by E at ∂X:

$$u \quad \sim \sum_{\substack{z \in E,\\ \Re z \to \infty}} \sum_{p=0}^{p=p_z} \rho^z (\log \rho)^p\, a_{z,p}\,, \qquad a_{z,p} \in C^\infty(X) \tag{A.6}$$

and $\Re z \to \infty$ if $p_z \to \infty$. This means that for all $N > 0$, there is some M so that

$$u \quad - \sum_{\substack{z \in E,\\ \Re z < M}} \sum_{p=0}^{p=p_z} \rho^z (\log \rho)^p\, a_{z,p}$$

vanishes to order N at ∂X. The definition extends easily to sections of bundles. For manifolds with corners, one chooses an index set for each face of codimension one, and iterates (A.6), see [**Me**] .

Operators on M have their kernels defined on the manifold with corners $M^2 = M \times M$. However, the analysis is facilitated when those kernels are lifted to another manifold with corners M^2_e, obtained by blowing up a certain submanifold of the corner of M^2 (again, see [**Ma**] for details). M^2_e has three codimension one faces, denoted M_{10}, the *left face*, M_{01}, the *right face*, and M_{11}, the *front face*. The diagonal Δ of M^2 lifts as Δ_e to M^2_e, where it intersects M_{11} transversely. There are three basic spaces of edge pseudodifferential operators on M :

1. $\Psi^m_e(M)$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. These are the operators of order m in the *small calculus*. Their kernels, considered on M^2_e, are smooth away from Δ_e and vanish to infinite order at M_{10} and M_{01}. They have a certain conormal singularity along Δ_e (see [**Ma**] for the exact description). The kernel of an edge differential operator L of order m is supported on Δ_e and therefore, $L \in \Psi^m_e$.
2. $\Psi^{-\infty,\mathcal{E}}_e(M)$, where $\mathcal{E} = (E_{10}, E_{11}, E_{01})$ is a triplet of index sets. The kernels of the operators in this space are given by functions which are smooth on the interior of M^2_e, with asymptotic developments at the face

M_{ij} corresponding to the index set E_{ij}. We can then define

$$\Psi_e^{m,\mathcal{E}}(M) \quad = \quad \Psi_e^{-\infty,\mathcal{E}}(M) \,+\, \Psi_e^m(M).$$

3. $\Psi^{-\infty,\tilde{\mathcal{E}}}(M)$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = (E_{10}, E_{01})$. The operators in this space are the *very residual* terms of the calculus and have smooth kernels on the interior of M^2, with asymptotic expansions at the left (right) face of M^2 given by E_{10} (E_{01}). This allows us to define

$$\Psi^{m,\mathcal{E}}(M) \quad = \quad \Psi_e^{m,\mathcal{E}}(M) \,+\, \Psi^{-\infty,\tilde{\mathcal{E}}}(M).$$

For the composition properties of those spaces of operators, see [**Ma**].

We now define the Sobolev spaces on which those operators act:

$$x^\delta W_e^{p,k}(M) \quad = \{u \in L^p(M) \mid u = x^\delta v,\, V_1 \dots V_k\, v \in L^p(M),\, V_i \in \mathcal{V}_e(M)\}.$$

If k is not a positive integer, the definition is obtained by duality and interpolation. The extension to sections of vector bundles is straightforward, using local trivializations. The dual of $x^\delta W_e^{p,k}(M)$ is identified with $x^{-\delta} W_e^{q,-k}(M)$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, under the L^2 inner product. We also define $x^\delta H_e^k = x^\delta W_e^{2,k}$. Now, a rescaling argument, as presented in [**Ma**], shows that

1. Operators in Ψ_e^m map $x^\delta W_e^{p,k}$ to $x^\delta W_e^{p,k-m}$. In particular, this is true for edge differential operators of order m.
2. The corresponding statements of elliptic regularity hold for an elliptic edge operator L of order m, i.e. if u, $Lu \in x^\delta W_e^{p,k}$, then $u \in x^\delta W_e^{p,k+m}$, with the estimate:

$$\|u\|_{x^\delta W_e^{p,k+m}} \leq C \,\|Lu\|_{x^\delta W_e^{p,k}} + \|u\|_{x^\delta W_e^{p,k}}. \tag{A.7}$$

Now, we denote by L^t the formal adjoint of L under the L^2 inner product, and by $L^* = x^{2\delta} L^t x^{-2\delta}$ the adjoint in $x^\delta L^2$. The boundary spectra of L^t and L^* can be computed to be

$$\begin{aligned} spec_b(L^t) &= \{-\bar{\zeta} - 1 \mid \zeta \in spec_b(L)\} \\ spec_b(L^*) &= \{-\bar{\zeta} + 2\delta - 1 \mid \zeta \in spec_b(L)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

This is [**Ma**, formula (4.16)] where a sign mistake has been corrected and the conjugations have been restored.

We are now ready to state the main result regarding elliptic edge operators ([**Ma**, theorem (4.20)] (note that we corrected a mistake regarding the index sets F_{10} and F_{01}):

THEOREM A.1. *Let L be an elliptic differential edge operator of order m with constant indicial roots and isotropic normal operator. Let $\delta \in (\underline{\delta}, \overline{\delta})$, $\delta \notin \Re spec_b(L) + 1/2$, with $\underline{\delta}, \overline{\delta}$ defined from L_0 as described above. Then the map*

$$L : x^\delta H_e^\ell \to x^\delta H_e^{\ell-m}$$

is Fredholm. Denote by P_1 and P_2 the orthogonal projectors in $x^\delta L^2$ onto the kernel and cokernel of L. There is a generalized inverse G for L, satisfying

$$GL = I - P_1, \qquad LG = I - P_2 \tag{A.9}$$

as operators on $x^\delta H_e^\ell$, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$. We have

$$P_1 \in \Psi^{-\infty,\tilde{\mathcal{E}}}, \quad P_2 \in \Psi^{-\infty,\tilde{\mathcal{F}}},$$
$$G \in \Psi^{-m,\mathcal{H}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\inf E_{10} &= \inf\left(\Re spec_b(L) \cap (\delta - \tfrac{1}{2}, \infty)\right),\\
\inf E_{01} &= \inf E_{10} - 2\delta\,,\\
\inf F_{10} &= \inf\left(\Re spec_b(L^*) \cap (\delta - \tfrac{1}{2}, \infty)\right),\\
\inf F_{01} &= \inf F_{10} - 2\delta\,,\\
\inf H_{10} &= \min\{\inf E_{10}, \inf F_{10}\},\\
\inf H_{01} &= \min\{\inf E_{01}, \inf F_{01}\},\\
\inf H_{11} &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

The maps

$$\begin{aligned}
G &: x^\delta H_e^\ell \to x^\delta H_e^{\ell+m}\\
P_i &: x^\delta H_e^\ell \to x^\delta H_e^s
\end{aligned}$$

are bounded for every ℓ, $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, if $u \in x^\delta L^2$ and $Lu = 0$, then u is polyhomogeneous with $u \in \mathcal{A}_{phg}^{E_{10}}$.

In order to obtain a good L^p theory, $p \neq 2$, we need the following fact :

Proposition A.2. *With notations as in theorem A.1, let δ' be such that*

$$\inf H_{10} > \delta' - \frac{1}{p}\,, \quad \inf H_{01} + \delta' > -\frac{1}{q}, \tag{A.11}$$

with $\dfrac{1}{p} + \dfrac{1}{q} = 1$. Then the generalized inverse

$$G : x^{\delta'} W_e^{p,k} \to x^{\delta'} W_e^{p,k+m}$$

is well-defined and bounded and the equations (A.9) *still hold.*

Remark that in the statement of proposition A.2, the operators G, P_1 and P_2 and the index sets H_{10}, H_{01} are the ones constructed with the weight x^δ, not with the weight $x^{\delta'}$. We need to be able to change exponents that way in the thesis. Proposition A.2 follows easily from the mapping properties of operators in the small calculus Ψ_e^m between L^p spaces obtained by rescaling,

as explained above, and the following extension of [**Ma**, Theorem (3.25)], as suggested there.

PROPOSITION A.3. *Let $A \in \Psi^{-\infty,\mathcal{E}}$ for some collection of index sets $\mathcal{E}$. Then*

$$A : x^{\delta} W_e^{p,\ell} \to x^{\delta'} W_e^{p,s}$$

is bounded for any $s, \ell \in \mathbb{R}$ provided

$$\inf E_{10} > \delta' - \frac{1}{p}, \quad \inf E_{01} + \delta > -\frac{1}{q}, \quad \delta + \inf E_{11} \geq \delta'. \tag{A.12}$$

PROOF. As in [**Ma**], to which we refer for notations, it is enough to consider the case of operators acting on half-densities and we can reduce to the case where $s = \ell = 0$ and $\delta = \delta' = 0$. Hence, all we need to show is the estimate

$$|\langle Au, v\rangle| \leq C\|u\|_p \|v\|_q. \tag{A.13}$$

This is straightforward if A is very residual. Therefore, we can assume that $A \in \Psi_e^{-\infty,\mathcal{E}}$ and consider its kernel κ_A lifted to M_e^2. The left hand side of (A.13) becomes

$$|\int \kappa_A \, u_R \, v_L \, \nu^2|, \tag{A.14}$$

where ν^2 is a non-vanishing density on M_e^2, and the subscripts R and L indicate that u and v have been lifted from the right and from the left, respectively (note there is a typo in the proof of [**Ma**], the subscripts R and L having been interchanged). If we denote by ρ_{10} and ρ_{01} defining functions for the left and right faces of M_e^2, then (A.14) can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\int |\kappa_A| \, |u_R| \, |v_L| \, \nu^2 &= \int |\kappa_A| \; |u_R| (\rho_{01}/\rho_{10})^{\frac{1}{p+q}} \; |v_L| (\rho_{10}/\rho_{01})^{\frac{1}{p+q}} \; \nu^2 \\ &\leq \left\{ \int |\kappa_A| \, |u_R|^p (\rho_{01}/\rho_{10})^{\frac{1}{q}} \, \nu^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int |\kappa_A| \, |v_L|^q (\rho_{10}/\rho_{01})^{\frac{1}{p}} \, \nu^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},\end{aligned}$$

using Hölder's inequality,

$$= \left\{ \int |\kappa_A| \, |u|^p \rho_{10}^{-\frac{1}{q}} \rho_{01}^{-\frac{1}{p}} \, \gamma_R^2 \, d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int |\kappa_A| \, |v|^q \rho_{10}^{-\frac{1}{q}} \rho_{01}^{-\frac{1}{p}} \, \gamma_L^2 \, d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

using [**Ma**, equation (3.21)] (γ is a non-vanishing half-density on M and $d\theta$ is a non-vanishing density on M_{11}),

$$\leq C \; \|u\|_p \; \|v\|_q,$$

by Fubini's theorem, given the asymptotics (A.12) of the kernel κ_A. □

It often happens, as in this thesis, that the operators studied become edge only after multplying by some power of x. For simplicity, let's assume we have a second order operator Δ over the manifold M, such that $L = x^2\Delta$ is elliptic edge. In that case, the usual statement of elliptic regularity for elliptic edge operators (A.7) is not completely satisfactory. Indeed,

$$\Delta \,:\, x^{\delta+2}H_e^{k+2} \to x^{\delta}H_e^{k} \tag{A.15}$$

is bounded. Therefore, what we would like is a statement of elliptic regularity that would for instance read as follows : if $u, v \in x^{\delta}H_e^k$ and $\Delta u = v$, then $u \in x^{\delta+2}H_e^{k+2}$, with the corresponding estimates. However, what we have in terms of the operator L is

$$u \in x^{\delta}H_e^k \quad \text{and} \quad Lu = x^2 v \,\in\, x^{\delta+2}H_e^k \,\subset\, x^{\delta}H_e^k\,,$$

and therefore, it can only be concluded from (A.7) that $u \in x^{\delta}H_e^{k+2}$. Still, as we shall see, it is possible to raise the power of x from δ to $\delta+2$ as desired if there is some elbow room in the distribution of the indicial roots of L.

Proposition A.4. *Let δ satisfy the hypotheses of theorem A.1 for $L = x^2\Delta$. With the notations of theorem A.1, assume δ' is such that*

$$\inf H_{10} > \delta' + 2 - \frac{1}{p}\,, \quad \inf H_{01} + 2 + \delta' > -\frac{1}{q}, \tag{A.16}$$

If $u \in x^{\delta'}W_e^{p,\ell}$, $v = \Delta u \in x^{\delta'}W_e^{p,k}$, then $u \in x^{\delta'+2}W_e^{p,k+2}$ and

$$\|u\|_{x^{\delta'+2}W_e^{p,k+2}} \,\leq\, C\,\big(\,\|\Delta u\|_{x^{\delta'}W_e^{p,k}} + \|u\|_{x^{\delta'}W_e^{p,\ell}}\big). \tag{A.17}$$

Proof. Let $w = x^2 v \in x^{\delta'+2}W_e^{p,k}$. We apply G, the generalized inverse for L corresponding to the weight δ, to both sides of the equality $Lu = w$. By proposition A.2, $Gw \in x^{\delta'+2}W_e^{p,k+2}$. Moreover, we have from (A.9),

$$u = Gw - P_1 u \tag{A.18}$$

By proposition A.3, $P_1 u \in x^{\delta'+2}W_e^{p,k+2}$. So the proposition, including (A.17), follows from (A.18). □

From (A.10) and (A.8), the inequalities (A.16) can be seen to be equivalent to saying that there is no indicial root of L whose real parts falls between $\delta - \frac{1}{2}$ and $\max\{2\delta - \delta' - 2 - \frac{1}{q}, \delta' + 2 - \frac{1}{p}\}$, nor between $\delta - \frac{1}{2}$ and $\min\{2\delta - \delta' - 3 + \frac{1}{p}, \delta' + 1 + \frac{1}{q}\}$. This is what we meant by elbow room in the distribution of the indicial roots of L.

The only thing which is really used in the proof of proposition A.4 is the equality (A.18), as well as the mapping properties of G and P_1, which, according to propositions A.2 and A.3, depend exclusively on the distribution of the indicial roots of L around $\delta - \frac{1}{2}$. It is therefore possible to obtain other

statements of elliptic regularity by the same kind of reasoning. For instance, we will need in the thesis:

PROPOSITION A.5. *Let $\Omega \subset\subset V$ be open subsets of M. If $u \in x^{\delta} H_e^{\ell}(V)$, $\Delta u \in x^{\delta'} W_e^{p,k}(V)$, then $u \in x^{\delta'+2} W_e^{p,k+2}(\Omega)$, with the estimate*

$$\|u\|_{x^{\delta'+2} W_e^{p,k+2}(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|\Delta u\|_{x^{\delta'} W_e^{p,k}(V)} + \|u\|_{x^{\delta} H_e^{\ell}(V)} \right), \tag{A.19}$$

provided the elements of $\Re spec_b(r^2\Delta)$ are located far enough from $\delta - \frac{1}{2}$.

We don't give the precise condition on the indicial roots here, since it is not needed in the thesis. In fact, what is shown there is that it is always possible to create as much elbow room as desired by altering Δ. This proposition is really new only when $\Omega \cap \partial M \neq \emptyset$; otherwise, it's just a well-known form of interior elliptic regularity.

PROOF. The proof proceeds as in proposition A.4, using cut-off functions equal to 1 on Ω and supported on V, as in one of the usual proofs of interior elliptic regularity. □

Bibliography

[APS] M. F. Atiyah, I. M. Singer. V. K. Patodi, *Spectral Asymmetry and Riemannian Geometry I*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **77** (1975) 43–69.

[BD] P. J. Braam, S. K. Donaldson, *Floer's work on Instanton Homology, Knots and Surgery*, The Floer Memorial Volume, H. Hofer *et al.* (eds), Birkhäuser, Basel (1995) 195–256.

[C] O. Collin, Gauge Theory for 3-Orbifolds and Knots, D.Phil. Thesis, Oxford University, 1997.

[dR] G. de Rham, *Variétés différentiables*, Hermann, Paris, 1955.

[DFK] S. K. Donaldson, M. Furuta, D. Kotschick, *Floer Homology*, unpublished manuscript.

[DK] S. K. Donaldson, P. B. Kronheimer, *The Geometry of Four-Manifolds*, Oxford University Press, 1990.

[DS] S. K. Donaldson, D. P. Sullivan, *Quasiconformal 4-manifolds*, Acta Math. **163** (1989) 181-252.

[F1] A. Floer, *An Instanton-Invariant for 3-Manifolds*, Commun. Math. Phys. **118** (1988) 215–240.

[F2] A. Floer, *Instanton homology, Surgery and Knots*, Geometry of Low–Dimensional Manifolds : 1, Gauge Theory and Algebraic Surfaces, S. K. Donaldson and C. B. Thomas (eds), LMS Lecture Note Series **150**, Cambridge University Press (1990) 97–124.

[FU] D. S. Freed, K. K. Uhlenbeck, *Instantons and Four-Manifolds*, MSRI Series **1**, Springer, Berlin, 1984.

[He1] C. M. Herald, *Legendrian Cobordism and Chern-Simons Theory on 3-Manifolds with Boundary*, Commun. Analysis and Geom. **2** (1994) 337–413.

[He2] C. M. Herald, *Flat connections, the Alexander invariant and Casson's invariant*, Commun. Analysis and Geom. **5** (1997) 93–120.

[Le] N. N. Lebedev, *Special Functions and their Applications*, Dover, New York, 1972.

[Li] W. P. Li, *Equivariant Knot Signatures and Floer Homologies*, preprint, 1997.

[LM] R. B. Lockhart, R. C. Mc Owen, *Elliptic Differential Operators on Noncompact Manifolds*, Annali di Scuola Norm. Sup. de Pisa **IV-12** (1985) 409–446.

[K] E. Klassen, *Representation spaces of knot groups in $SU(2)$*, Trans. A.M.S. **326** (1991) 795–828.

[Kr] P. B. Kronheimer, *An obstruction to removing intersection points in immersed surfaces*, Topology **36** (1997) 931–956.

[KM] P. B. Kronheimer, T. S. Mrowka, *Gauge Theory for Embedded Surfaces I*, Topology **32** (1993) 773–826.

[Ma] R. Mazzeo, *Elliptic Theory of Differential Edge Operators I*, Commun. in PDE **16** (1991), 1615–1664.

[Me] R. B. Melrose, *The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer Index Theorem*, Research Notes in Mathematics **4**, A K Peters, Wellesley, 1993.

[Mo] J. W. Morgan, unpublished lecture notes.

[MMR] J. W. Morgan, T. S. Mrowka, D. Ruberman, *The L^2-Moduli Space and a Vanishing Theorem for Donaldson Polynomial Invariants*, Monographs in Geometry and Topology **II**, International Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[R1] J. Råde, *Singular Yang-Mills fields. Local theory I*, J. reine angew. Math. **452** (1994) 111-151.

[RSW] T. R. Ramadas, I. Singer, J. Weitsman, *Some Comments on Chern-Simons Gauge Theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **126** (1989) 409–420.

[SS] L. M. Sibner, R. J. Sibner, *Classification of Singular Sobolev Connections by Their Holonomy*, Commun. Math. Phys. **144** (1992) 337–350.

[T1] C. H. Taubes, *Casson's Invariant and Gauge Theory*, J. Diff. Geom. **31** (1990) 547–599.

[T2] C. H. Taubes, *L^2 Moduli Spaces on 4-Manifolds with Cylindrical Ends*, Monographs in Geometry and Topology **I**, International Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[T3] C. H. Taubes, *Unique continuation Theorems in Gauge Theories*, Commun. Anal. Geom. **2(1)** (1994) 35–52.

[Taylor] M. E. Taylor, *Pseudodifferential Operators*, Princeton University Press, 1981.